

**REGULAR SESSION OF THE
BRIGHAM CITY COUNCIL
October 20, 2016**

PRESENT:	DJ Bott	Mayor Pro Tem
	Alden Farr	Councilmember
	Ruth Jensen	Councilmember
	Tom Peterson	Councilmember
	Mark Thompson	Councilmember (<i>via phone</i>)
ALSO PRESENT:	Joseph Bach	Fire Chief
	Dave Burnett	Public Power Director
	Dave Burnett	Public Power Director
	Mary Kate Christensen	City Recorder
	Paul Larsen	Economic Development Director
	Kristy Law	Community Activities & Services Director
	Mike Nelsen	Police Chief
	Derek Oyler	Finance Manager
	Tyler Pugsley	Public Works Director
	Jason Roberts	City Administrator
EXCUSED:	Tyler Vincent	Mayor

Mayor Pro Tem Bott, as Mayor Pro Tem, excused Mayor Vincent and conducted the meeting in his absence. The Reverence Period was given by Pastor Eric Sitterud from the Alpine Church. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Approval of Minutes: A motion to approve the minutes of the October 6, 2016 Council meeting was made by Councilmember Jensen, seconded by Councilmember Farr and unanimously approved as distributed.

AGENDA

PRESENTATION

Presentation of Arguments and Rebuttal For and Against the General Obligation Bond for a Senior and Recreation Center, Regional Sports Complex and Museum Upgrades
Public Comments Regarding Arguments

PUBLIC HEARING

Adjustment to the 2016-17 Budget to Increase the Expenditures in the General Fund for Installation of Fire Suppression Equipment at Airport Hangar #23

PUBLIC COMMENT

COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS

CONSENT

Request to Write-off Accounts Due to Bankruptcy or Being Sent to Collections
Approval of Estoppel Certificate for Project Straw

ADJOURN TO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING AND CLOSED SESSION

PRESENTATION

Presentation of Arguments and Rebuttal for and Against the General Obligation Bond for a Senior and Recreation Center, Regional Sports Complex and Museum Upgrades

Argument for the Proposed GO Bond prepared by the City Council and read by Jason Roberts:

“After recommendations from a community task force, the Brigham City Council unanimously approved a resolution asking voters to authorize issuance of a General Obligation Bond to finance the construction of a new Community Center, a Regional Sports Complex and Museum upgrades.

“Brigham City’s current Community Center houses the senior center on the main floor and the Museum in the basement. The center was built in 1970 and is undersized and inadequate for current needs. The outdated kitchen impeded the ability to efficiently prepare more than 250 meals per day. Our senior population is experience a ‘silver tsunami’ as the baby boomers are transitioning into this area of desired service.

“The proposed Community Center would house space to include three multisport gyms, a walking mezzanine, cafeteria, classrooms, craft rooms, a billiards area and other amenities to promote a healthy quality of life for our community. Currently the recreation department’s indoor activities are fully dependent on the availability of gym space leased from local schools and worked around other functions, making expansion of community recreational needs impossible. The proposed center would eliminate the need for enrollment caps and would enhance current programs such as basketball, volleyball, pickleball, line dancing and many other programs a ‘YES’ vote for the BO Bond would provide the opportunity for the seniors to combine resources with recreation and allow us to promote more recreational and fitness opportunities. Additional space would enable more educational programming and increased health promotion services. These services assist older individuals in remaining independent and active in the community.

“Shortly after the Community Center was built in 1970, the Museum has had too little space for exhibitions and for storage of the more than 11,000 items in the Museum’s permanent collection. Now, with two museums, the need for a larger, joint space is more important than ever, and this bond will provide some of that space. Bringing together the City’s two museums will enable staff to work more efficiently and provide better care of the collections.

“The continued development of the 65 acre Regional Sports Complex will allow for the needed expansion of playing and practice fields that are sorely lacking in the community. Brigham City has been identified by national tournament sanctioning bodies as an ideal hub for attracting youth and adult teams from surrounding states.

“The proposed new Community Center along with the Regional Sports Complex and the Museum renovation will impact economic development as a result of quality of life improvements and visitor spending. The Community Center will provide for the recreational and other needs of Brigham City residents, improving the quality of life in the community and making Brigham City a more desirable place to live. The regional sports park will provide for increased regional sports events which will generate visitor spending for lodging, dining, shopping and other services, all of which will bring new revenue to area businesses and increase sales tax revenues to the City and County.”

Rebuttal to Argument for the Proposed Bond prepared and read by Sherry Phipps:

“The support from the City Council for the proposed general obligation bond is not unanimous. One of the council members gave their ‘yea’ vote simply to put it on the ballot hoping the people of Brigham City will be smart enough to vote NO.

“The so-called ‘silver tsunami’ is a major reason for NO on the bond. Most seniors are on fixed incomes. Property tax is a huge burden, because it has to be paid regardless of income. We should keep it as low as possible. This allows more seniors greater independence because they have the money to meet their needs.

“Brigham City already has several parks along with soccer fields, tennis courts, pickle ball courts, baseball diamonds, a golf course, and a swimming pool. I remember back when the new swimming pool was being promoted in order to pass a bond. It was stated that it would bring many people in from out of town who would also dine while here. The first few years the pool was opened, there were quite a few visitors. That soon changed when other cities started building swimming pools. There is no guarantee that a sports complex will draw in large numbers over the long term it will take 20 years to pay off this bond.

“Brigham City is a small community. Large influxes of visitors will increase traffic. A NO vote will help it remain a nice, quiet community.”

Argument Against the Proposed General Obligation Bond, prepared by Lee Phipps, Sherry Phipps, Kaydell Bowles, Elaine Bowles and Becky Maddox:

“We oppose the \$26,000,000 bond being proposed by Brigham City for completion of the outdoor sports complex and building a new senior/recreation center. The reasons are:

“With a population of around 18,000, this bond seems like an excessive amount especially considering that probably about a third of this number are either children or people with low incomes. If this bond is passed, it is estimated it will increase our property taxes for homeowners \$148.93 per year on a home valued at \$160,000 and \$215.35 per year on a business of the same value. Our experience is that the county assessor continues to increase the value of homes which means the tax will continue to rise.

“At 4% interest, the first year interest payment alone will be \$1,040,000.

“Utah is already in the top 20 states for the amount of taxes extracted from its residents. The taxes we already have are a grievous burden for the average citizen, especially those retired and/or on fixed incomes. These include Federal Income Tax, Social Security, Medicare, State Income Tax, Sales Tax, County Property Tax, State and Federal Gasoline Tax, License Fees, Energy Taxes, Customer Service Fees, Municipal Energy Tax, Energy Assistance Tax, and other hidden taxes.

“Higher taxes negatively impact individuals’ disposable income, increase the cost of doing business, and increase the amount of rent.

“The City should not build public venues to compete against private enterprise. We have gyms in this City such as Physiques. The City can also continue to lease the public school gyms for their basketball and volleyball programs.

“Another consideration is that building more facilities will also require hiring more people that are paid from our taxes. The elaborate fire station that was built some years ago in this community is an example of this. The population is about the same, but we jumped from a volunteer to full-time staff.

“It is our hope that the residents of Brigham City will seriously consider the implications of this kind of debit for a small community. If there are things groups in the community really want, they should look a fund raising and making generous donation of their own. Those who use the Sports Complex should pay to play; pay enough to complete it without increasing taxes. The City Council should also review the additional costs of running and maintaining any facility that is built.

“We urge the citizens of Brigham City to vote NO on any increase in taxes or fees.”

Public Comments Regarding Arguments

Paul Roberts, 316 North 500 West – Mr. Roberts said he coached soccer for 18 years so he is aware of the needs for soccer fields and the need for better ones. He spoke in favor of the bond. The particulars of the community and senior center are subject to change in the process. He felt that the design is in good hands. There are two important things to keep in mind: interest rates have been low for a long time, and construction costs are steadily rising. When interest rates are low is a good time to borrow and invest in the infrastructure because it costs less. If, in the future, higher interest rates are anticipated, then now is the best time to sell bonds. Any major disruption in the world economic system beyond the control of the Federal Reserve can happen overnight and increase interest rates. Construction costs are rising steadily because there is a scarcity of land, construction materials, code requirements, qualified construction workers, and the fact that construction companies are too busy to bid on low cost construction jobs. Mr. Roberts encouraged the citizens of Brigham City to get behind this bond. The best time is now. The needs are there. There is a shortage of both outside and indoor sports facilities. At times all the gyms are in use and there is nothing left to add alternative sports or activities. The outdoor fields are full and at capacity. Field quality needs to meet expectations and standards. Soccer and baseball coaches don't like bumpy fields. If they are going to be competitive there has to be decent fields. This also helps keep repair costs reasonable.

Becky Maddox, 238 North 300 West #2 – Ms. Maddox said she will soon be retiring. This \$26M is out of line because the City does not have the Constitutional right to provide citizens' recreation; they can do that themselves. If they really want better fields, people can get together and get the money. It doesn't have to come off the backs of the older population and the poor. The pamphlet states that *“the City has other outstanding bonds for which a tax decrease would occur upon the retirement of such bonds, which may not occur if the proposed bonds are issued.”* This does not mean that because other bonds retire that the City will decrease the amount that is needed so this bond can be paid off; there will be more to pay off because there are more things that are out there. She said there is so much glass in the proposed building that the City will have to hire an outside company to clean. The glass will have to be cleaned on a regular basis; this will add to the costs. She wondered if money would be taken out of the \$26M to help pay for this. There are more costs than what the citizens have been informed about. There will be unisex bathrooms to save money. If the City is spending \$26M they may as well do it right. If we start with unisex bathrooms, where are they going to go? There will be a bank of lockers and people can go behind the screen to dress or undress. She does not want someone to have a prurient interest. If something is prurient it is sure to offend others. She has the right not to become the object of someone else's interest in that way, and so does everyone else.

Juliana Larsen, 750 North 1175 West – Ms. Larsen read from the Box Elder News Journal, “*There has been some confusion about the amount taxes will increase, since the City is only accounting for the difference in taxes between the current rates (which include the old bond, at about \$79.16 annually) and the proposed bond. Essentially, the City has taken the positions that since residents are currently paying for the old bond; it should be included as resident’s total Brigham City property tax bill in any estimates related to the increase from the new bond.*” Further in the article it says the base property on a \$160,000 home is \$136.70. The current bond is \$79.16 for a total of \$215.86. The next equation includes \$215.35 for the new GO Bond, for a total of \$352.05. This number includes the \$79.16 that is supposedly being retired. If this is true, why is it in the amount so people don’t notice that they are still going to pay the \$79.16? This is paying for two bonds that have been rolled together in the name of having all these new things. As a newly retired person in the community, she did not think there are going to be a lot of people that will want to spend this amount of money every year for the next 20 years. She was concerned that the City is saddling folks like her for the next 20 years of their retirement fund. Is it a need or a want? Is the sports complex really a need, or are they a desire for something greater that we’re not ready for? She asked if it is fair to bury this kind of information where most people are not even going to know about. The members of the City Council should want to represent the constituents well enough to make sure they are very well informed and understand what it is going to mean for the next 20 years of their life. She asked the Council to inform the citizens and give them a chance to see what the City is really trying to do.

Kathy Price, 455 North 400 West – Ms. Price is a business owner as well as a property owner in Brigham City and she said this will affect her three times. It will cost her about \$10,000 for this bond over the 20-year period. She can go buy her own gym membership and she can walk at Wal-Mart for free. If the City’s fields are bumpy and not being taken care of, how are more fields going to be taken care of? She has heard a projected \$32M that will come into Brigham City as revenue. She did not know how the City can project revenue when it is a guess who will come in. There are not very many restaurants in Brigham City so they will leave and go to Ogden. The City should not count on restaurant revenue. At a projected \$32M that should be made in revenue over the life of the bond, she did not see how the City can come up with \$1.6M a year in revenue. There’s going to be yearly maintenance costs which have never been mentioned. When the swimming pool bond was up for election, there was probably projected revenue. The pool has been in the red since it was built. She expressed concern with the senior center and recreation center being combined. She did not see how merging different age groups can work. She wondered if the City is asking for \$26M, knowing that it won’t pass, then when they ask for less, citizens will think they got a deal.

Dick Wedgewood, 126 West 200 North - Mr. Wedgewood said he goes to the senior center and likes it. He doesn’t use it nearly as much as other seniors. He sees a lot of people there for lunch. He understands that it is difficult to get a pickleball court there. There are exercise classes and maybe there are a lot of people at the center for that. He generally goes at a different time and the place is empty. If you call their number there is a recording that says they are open until 3:00; any time after 3:00 you have to make an appointment. Does that mean a person needs to make an appointment, because if they don’t, no one will be there? When he goes there, he might see ten other people. He did not think the senior center is overused. There was an editorial in the newspaper by Leon Jeppsen stating that maybe the kitchen is too small; then make the kitchen bigger. Mr. Wedgewood did his own calculation on the bond and they differ a little from what was in the News Journal. The News Journal used the *assessed* taxable value of \$160,000; that was an error. It should have been *market* value, or an \$88,000 taxable value. If the 2015 tax rate is used, the tax on that home would be \$206.10. This includes \$79.16 to pay off the retired bond. The information on the ballot says when the current bonds are retired – it does not say maybe – the tax would be reduced. It says *would* be, not *might* or *maybe*, it says it *would*. That means that the \$206.10 tax would reduce to \$126.94. The tax on this property would increase 169% or 269% of what it would be if reduced to \$126.

Daniel Thompson, 454 Parkinson Drive – Mr. Thompson said he supports the general idea of improving the City's infrastructure and facilities, including recreation and senior centers. He felt that complexes and the museum are a necessary part of any City government, and what the City has published as their focus areas under economic development and quality of life. The idea of using tax funds to generate jobs, even City jobs, is admirable and is something that should be encouraged. However, he said there are some serious issues with the current bond initiative. It was a tactical error to bundle all these things into one initiative, especially because of the dollar amount. However, based on the information that has been mailed to people, it seems that the bulk of the project is tilted toward senior citizens on the backs of other demographics. For example, young families with children who are also on limited incomes but are also property owners, will have limited access to many of these facilities. The facilities that will be available are mostly oriented toward senior citizens. If there were programs for venues and accessibility increased fairly throughout the community, and the cost was distributed throughout the community, then there would be more support from the young people and families.

George Berkley, 934 West 925 South – Mr. Berkley said the information sent out says the typical resident's *assessed* value, not *market* value. The information also said that there would be \$32M worth of projected income into the City. Most of this will go to businesses. Of that \$32M, approximately \$1-2M will be sales taxes that will go to Brigham City. Businesses will pay more property tax, but they will get more business. The senior center provides 150 Meals on Wheels a day. It is estimated that in the next 20 years this number will double. The City looked at the current senior center to see if it can be renovated. It can't be done and get everything they need. Mr. Berkley felt that the City has the responsibility to care for the people. He would rather have an organized, well-managed nutritional system provide a nice nutritional meal the 150 people can count on, rather than relying on the people in the City to do it. He encouraged the City to have the users pay their way to these facilities. If a person participates in pickleball at the Bunderson Building, they pay \$10/month. There will be money that comes in from these facilities.

Donavon Malone, 814 North 500 East – Mr. Malone said he is in favor of a recreation center. He felt that the community needs this. He felt it was a need for the children. However, he felt it would be best to first pursue fundraising and not build it with taxes. He moved to Brigham City nine years ago from a smaller community in Indiana. They built a YMCA through private donations. There are some very wealthy, generous people in the community that he felt would help fund it. There are also many great businesses that could help build the facility without taxpayer money. He works for one of the metal businesses in town. There is a Brigham native on the executive board of Nucor Steel. These avenues should be pursued. He was disappointed to see that the location had been changed to Pioneer Park versus the USU Campus. He felt it should be built at the USU Campus or beside the natatorium. Parking at Pioneer Park would be a nightmare. He agreed that lumping all these projects together into one is a mistake and will result in a failure of the bond. There are things that are needed in the community, but most people cannot afford \$26M in taxes.

Bonnie Germer, 1026 Dentwood Drive – Ms. Germer said the most important thing she wants the Council to understand is that she continually hears that the senior center is going to overflow. They keep forgetting that some die and some retire; more retire and more die. Brigham City is a small community; there are not that many seniors that are going to live the next 20 years to pay this off. There are not that many citizens that are under the senior age that are not going to become seniors. Seniors cannot afford this. She is a senior and she cannot afford it. The center is not going to overflow. There are not going to be 320 seniors. If this growth happens, there would be nothing but seniors. They come and they go. The City paid to buy and build a restaurant. She doesn't go there to eat because she can't afford it. But she hears they are seldom open and the food is not that wonderful. If the City is on the hook to pay for that restaurant, and the City has to make the payments, what is their obligation? She would like to see a report; she wants to know numbers. She would also like to see the numbers on the hotel. The City bought it and handed it to a guy, or loaned them the money. She would like to see the numbers on the museum.

Regarding the museum, she thought Bunderson School was closed because it wasn't safe. But there are children going to the Boys & Girls Club there. It's not OK for rocks to be there, but it's OK for children to be there? The City is going to have to maintain the building whether they are there or not there. She added that if this is an open forum, the Council only gives them three minutes. The citizens are supposed to discuss, or talk, or understand, and the gentleman that had good information was cut off. Why? He needs to let them know what he found out. She'd like to know what he knows. This is their community; their money, and then they are only allowed three minutes. It is unfair and rude. If this doesn't pass, it is the City's fault because nobody brought this to the citizen's attention last year so they could research it. She wants reports; she wants numbers. Nobody brought this to their attention last year and now it's on the ballot, and they are being informed a couple of weeks before voting. This is unfair.

Michael Olsen, 70 South 100 West – Mr. Olsen said his biggest concern is that it is supposed to be a community center, yet it is definitely not community oriented. Even the fields are not all-inclusive fields. He understood that softball is great, and some of the fields will be tournament size. However, there are other sports in town besides baseball and softball. His kids play soccer. The multi-use soccer fields will be for age 11 and under. Brigham City needs soccer fields for kids up to age 18. If the City is going to make something for the community, then it should be for the entire community. There are some things that are not needed; for example, billiards lounge at the recreation center. This is not needed and is excessive. He agreed that Meals on Wheels and the senior center need something better. A community center needs to be for the entire community. He will be paying for this bond for 20 years, and some of the things he will not be able to use for 25 years. The building might not even be viable by then. He was concerned with paying for something that he can't fully use.

There were no further comments from the public.

PUBLIC HEARING

Adjustment to the 2016-17 Budget to Increase the Expenditures in the General Fund for Installation of Fire Suppression Equipment at Airport Hangar #23

Derek Oyler and Tyler Pugsley came to the table. This was discussed in a previous Council meeting and the Council instructed staff to bring an amendment to the budget back for approval. Mr. Oyler stated that they have received three bids. He recommended the funds come from fund balance.

Councilmember Peterson asked why this is needed now. When this hangar was built, Flying J leased the hangar and they did the identical work that is being considered now. He did not think the usage had changed.

Mr. Pugsley said when the hangar was built, the plans were stamped in October or November 1999. This would be under the 1997 Building Code. In 2000 a new edition of the Building Code was published. The City built the hangar and leased it to Flying J for storage and maintenance of aircraft. The proposed usage for the lessee, Ultimate Aviation, is to store, maintain aircraft, and specialized work on aircraft. It is similar to what has been in there. Both the Fire Marshal and City Inspector have been involved and both felt that fire suppression should be installed.

Councilmember Peterson said he was not opposed to providing some type of fire protection, but he preferred a detection system. Another concern is that the suppression system is not going to be in the wood constructed portion of the building. He felt this would be the area with the most fire loads. He thought the idea was good, but was concerned about how effective a sprinkler would be at 35' in the air. By the time the water goes to the ground, the heat would weaken the rafters enough to collapse the building. He suggested looking into a detection system. He clarified that he is a firm believer in fire suppression systems, and knows that they save lives and property. It is probably the responsible thing to do. His biggest concern was that there are no plans to install sprinklers for the entire building.

Tracy Halladay, City Inspector, came to the table. He stated that this is a new company coming into the City's hangar. If it was anyone else's building, the City would require them to upgrade to code. It was his opinion, and the Fire Marshall's opinion, that it should be updated. In 1997 the code specifically stated that if it is an S-5 occupancy there will be no open flame or torching. This code has been updated and now if there is any open flame or torching fire suppression is required. The use has changed enough that it was his opinion it should be done.

A motion to open the public hearing was made by Councilmember Peterson, seconded by Councilmember Jensen and unanimously carried.

Juliana Larsen, Brigham City – Ms. Larsen asked if this is an issue of risk management. Mayor Pro Tem Bott said it is. She encourages the City to look at other risk management issues throughout the community that have been reported and not addressed. There are plenty, and she was aware of one that is definitely a risk. The one she was thinking of would not cost \$40,000 to fix.

Becky Maddox, Brigham City – Ms. Maddox wondered if both fire suppression and fire detection need to go together. That way when the sprinklers go off the Fire Department would be notified.

Paul Roberts, Brigham City – Mr. Roberts said consideration needs to be given on the assets of this new company and the City building. A few Lear jets would be \$50M; \$40,000 is cheap insurance.

DeAnna Hardy, County – Ms. Hardy said the airport is a socialist program. The citizens should not be paying for an airport. If the company is coming in, they should pay for the fire suppression. The City should sell it to a private owner. Citizens are paying for it but they will never use it.

A motion to close the public hearing was made by Councilmember Jensen, seconded by Councilmember Farr and unanimously carried.

Councilmember Peterson responded to Ms. Maddox's suggestion. He explained that if the suppression system is activated it will immediately notify dispatch.

Councilmember Farr did not feel the building should be sold, as recommended by Ms. Hardy. Mr. Pugsley said if the funds from the sale of the building could go back to the airport fund to pay for more expansion at the airport, it might be a good thing to do. If the money does not go back to the airport fund, there would be \$40,000 less revenue to maintain the facility. Those funds would have to come from the general fund.

MOTION: Councilmember Peterson made a motion to approve the resolution to fund the suppression system, and transfer up to \$40,000 from the general fund. In addition, he gave direction to staff to do a cost analysis on whether it is more viable to sell the building or keep it. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Jensen. Councilmember Jensen said she would like the \$40,000 to go back to the general fund if the building is sold. Mr. Roberts said the Council would make that decision when it is sold.

Mayor Pro Tem Bott – aye
Councilmember Farr – aye
Councilmember Jensen – aye
Councilmember Peterson – aye
Councilmember Thompson – aye

The motion passed with a unanimous vote.

PUBLIC COMMENT

DeAnna Hardy, County – Ms. Hardy responded to a comment about the City getting federal money for the airport. She asked the Council not to do this anymore. The federal government was only granted a few and divine powers' giving money to cities is not one of those powers. History repeats itself over and over again. People study history so they don't make the same mistakes, but they are not taught correct history so we are repeating the same mistakes. Men desire to rule over other men. The Sons of Liberty held the first tea party. They dressed up as Indians and boarded ships up and down the eastern coast, not just in Boston. They threw tea into the harbor. It wasn't just about taxes. King George III was mandating that the British colonists only buy from government approved merchants. Penelope Barker and over 50 other women held the second tea party, ten months after the Sons of Liberty. She said, *"Maybe it has only been men who have protested the King, up until now. That only means we women have taken too long to let our voices be heard."* These women were brave and courageous and not afraid to tell the King who they were. They told the King that they would not buy anything made in England. They were tired of the King's tyranny. Many of these women's husbands were merchants. Although they were insulted and ridiculed in the newspapers, they decided that liberty was more important than their husband's paycheck, or even their lives or reputations. They knew they needed to stand today or bow tomorrow. They were focused on liberty for their children, grandchildren, and her as yet unborn. As she listens to economic development and hears that the government is picking winners and losers in the business field, this is given them preferential treatment. It's similar to the King choosing merchants for the British colonists. Government is doing the same thing by picking businesses that citizens will purchase items from. She did not understand why a water bottling company wants to come to the second driest state in the nation. Brigham City does not have a lot of water. There are water restrictions throughout the state. This is not a free market system because government is colluding with businesses, picking and choosing winners and losers.

COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS

Councilmember Farr met with the Library Board and Airport Advisory Board. The Airport Advisory Board was in favor of the business going into the City's Hangar #23. He thanked the citizens for their input on the GO Bond. If the bond doesn't pass, there were a lot of comments that the Council should review and consider.

Councilmember Jensen participated in the Walk Against Violence for the New Hope Crisis Center. Councilmember Thompson was also there. She was not able to attend the New Hope Crisis meeting on the CCI Program, but they looked into changing the mission statement and changing the grant application. The CCI receives \$30,000 from the City. It used to be \$150,000 of federal and City money. She has been working on the Sesquicentennial Celebration. Brigham City will be 150 years in January 2017. There will be a six month fiesta with dances, pageants, etc.

CONSENT

Request to Write-off Accounts Due to Bankruptcy or Being Sent to Collections

A list of accounts totaling \$6,828.40 was presented to be removed from the City's system due to customer taking out bankruptcy or being sent to collections.

Approval of Estoppel Certificate for Project Straw

This Estoppel Certificate was requested by the Niagara Water Bottling Company.

MOTION: A motion to approve the Consent Agenda was made by Councilmember Jensen, seconded by Councilmember Peterson and unanimously approved.

The Council adjourned to a Redevelopment Agency Meeting at 8:28 p.m. The Council returned to an open meeting at 8:29 p.m.

ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION

A motion to adjourn to a closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange or lease of property was made by, Councilmember Peterson and seconded by Councilmember Farr. A roll call vote was taken with all councilmembers voting aye. The meeting adjourned to a closed session at 8:29 p.m.

The Council returned to an open meeting at 9:41 p.m. and adjourned.

The undersigned duly appointed Recorder for Brigham City Corporation hereby certifies that the foregoing is a true, accurate and complete record of the October 20, 2016 City Council Meeting.

Dated this 3rd day of November, 2016.

Mary Kate Christensen

Mary Kate Christensen, Recorder