

ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
September 27, 2011

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for Tuesday, September 27, 2011, at 7:00 PM; this was preceded by a City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on September 23, 2011.

6:00 PM -

CANVASSING PRIMARY ELECTION RETURNS

The City Council reviewed the various forms listing the election returns for the Primary Election, held September 13, 2011; under the direction of Mayor Christensen. Envelope #1 was opened and the results compared with the Official Registration Book. They found all to be in order with the results of the election; with the addition of Provisional Ballots; which added 4 votes to Hal Shelley and one vote for Alvin Harward.

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL, TO CERTIFY THE ELECTION RETURNS FROM THE PRIMARY ELECTION; WHICH RESULTED IN ERIN CLAWSON AND HAL SHELLEY MOVING FORWARD TO THE GENERAL ELECTION

VOTE: YES (4) NO (0) ABSENT (1) JAMES MAYFIELD

6:10 PM -

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA:

ROLL

Mayor: Ed Christensen; *City Council:* Julie Haskell, Erin Clawson, Weston Youd & Sean Roylance (Absent: James Mayfield); *Building Official/Public Works:* Corbett Stephens; *Public:* F. Lucretia Thayne, Hal Shelley, Ray Brown, Gordon & Traci Raymond, Sean McCarthy, Austin McCarthy, Dustin Mackenzie, Brandon Gardner, Skyline Steinacker, Korina Searle, David Clark; and the City Recorder, Janice Davis

00:05:42

SANITATION CONTACT
RENEWAL

Sean Roylance: Council Member Roylance provided a handout to each of the Council summarizing the available options for renewal: (He reviewed these options)
These are compared to the current rate: Collection (9.35) & Add'l carts (5.50):
Gordon Raymond (Allied) was present.

	<u>1st Cart</u>	<u>Add'l Carts</u>
1. Roll current – 1 yr-thru 12/31/12	\$ 9.54	\$ 5.61
Recycling 3 yr minimum		
2. Proposed 3 yr – thru 12/31/14	\$ 9.17	\$5.50
Recycling – 20% - 50% (Opt in)	6.25	
51% + (Opt out)	4.95	
3. Proposed 5 yr – thru 12/31 16	\$ 9.00	\$ 5.50
Recycling – 20% - 50% (Opt in)	6.15	
51% + (Opt out)	4.80	

Recycling is done on an “every other week” basis and may (or may not) be on the same day as waste collection; dependent upon truck availability and routing.
(Included was a list of things that could be recycled.)

The “opt out” option assumes that all residents will be included in the recycling program unless they call in to be removed (“opt out”). This needs to be carefully explained to residents.

Some of the Council Members did not receive the handout via email; but it was the same as the handout provided at the meeting.

Recommendation (Sean Roylance):

- Renew the contract with Allied for one of the longer options (less money). He feels there is too much going on in the City to consider our own business right now; besides the risk involved with a City business.

The Garbage collection and the recycling can be decided separately; one is not dependent on the other.

Erin Clawson: she had the impression that a survey to the residents was to have gone out regarding recycling. (No response)

Mayor Christensen: The Council was to decide on at least the rate for collection; but he understood that Mr. Stephens was still in favor of the City doing its own garbage business...he asked Mr. Stephens how he felt about it.

Julie Haskell: She agreed with Council Member Roylance; Allied has done a good job for the City.

The Mayor asked Mr. Stephens to respond:

Corbett Stephens: He said he did not want any more work to do; however, in his and the City Finance Director's opinions, the City could “make a lot of money doing our own garbage”. He feels it would be worth hiring an additional employee in Public Works to run the garbage. It is the Elk Ridge residents that might be able to save money.

00:15:34

STORM DRAIN STUDY
LEI PROPOSAL

The LEI Storm Drain proposal was forwarded to the Council for the Members to have time to review it. There were certain questions.

Weston Youd: He had wanted to talk to the Finance Director about the depreciation schedule for the Storm Drain Fund. The thought was to try to determine what the past years have been and where the City is going so a viable fee can be figured that will meet the needs of the City...now and in the future.

This information would also help in determining if a study like this could be supported.

Julie Haskell: She would prefer a hard-copy of the proposal.

**(There has been a problem with emails sent to Council Member Haskell; for some reason, some are not going through. That needs to be checked out and fixed.)*

**The discussion on this proposal was postponed until the next City Council Meeting.*

00:16:58
UPDATES

1. Public Works Building:

Mayor Christensen: The Public Works employees have been working hard. The foundations are in and all is ready. Noel Hiatt has done some grading to get ready for asphalt. The underground work is in where there will be asphalt. The retention basin has been changed a bit to better accommodate parking in the area. He feels the area is being utilized wisely.

Hopefully the steel will be here to begin framing in the next week or so.

Council Members Youd and Clawson commented that they feel the building will “look great”.

Sean Roylance: He feels it is already an improvement over the previous weeds.

Discussion about where the asphalt will go in. Most of the work has been done in-house so far.

2. Road Grading – National Guard:

Erin Clawson: She spoke to Lt. Buffington (Natl. Guard); he has not been able to take phone calls for the last 3 weeks due to “area changes”. He will contact the engineers the next morning and get a date when the grading could be scheduled.

Council Member Clawson was not familiar with grading Salem Hills Drive; she was focusing on the road to the tank. She will mention this to Lt. Buffington. *(Noel Hiatt’s bid was to grade the road to the tank as well as re-grading the connecting dirt road between West and East Salem Hills Drive.)* Lt. Buffington was told the City needs to have this done before the “snow flies”. (He has not been quick to respond.)

3. Gooseneck Drive:

Mayor Christensen: The Mayor spoke to Noel Hiatt about the possibility of cutting the south side of the road out where the hill meets Gooseneck Drive about 15 feet. The dirt would be hauled to the end of Elk Ridge Drive. The cost for Mr. Hiatt would be about \$7,250 (to come from the Roads Budget).

00:24:24

With the hill cut back, a trail could fit in more readily; the trail could be extended to the future park area...using Park Impact Fees for the expense.

Comments:

Weston Youd: Could Trail money be used for the cost of cutting away the hill, since the trail will go in there?

**The City Recorder will check the Impact Fees Study to check the wording on how the fees are to be spent. The Council would have to move to approve the spending of Impact Fees money for this specific project.*

Possible exchange with Contractor, Robert Nelson:

Mayor Christensen: Mr. Nelson is finding it difficult to find a place to screen his topsoil. There may be an opportunity to exchange work in grading the entire flat area south of the Public Works Building that is designated as park for him being able to utilize a portion of the area (back in a corner) as a “screening pit”. Since there is nothing planned for the park area in the next few years, this may be a good opportunity for Mr. Nelson and the City. The Mayor proposed talking to Mr. Nelson about this idea. (Noel Hiatt does a great deal of Mr. Nelson’s work.)

Questions/Comments:

Sean Roylance: He wondered if that would leave the City with a lot of excess dirt and “junk”.

Mayor Christensen: Hauling off all the rock and excess debris would be part of the negotiations.

Erin Clawson: She wanted to know how long this arrangement would be for.

Corbett Stephens: He explained that whatever topsoil is there...they would leave enough on site to cover everything back over and whatever would not be needed on the site, they would take in trade for the grading and excavation.

00:28:19

Mr. Stephens thought that they would screen everything used on site; then leave any excess for covering over; he did not believe they would be bringing in any soil to screen. (That is the process they went through on site at the cemetery in Salem.)

Mayor: He proposed talking to Mr. Nelson to find out more details about what he has in mind; this was just an idea...and is very premature.

Erin Clawson: She would want to see the details of the exchange in writing prior to a vote. *(The Mayor agreed.)*

Sean Roylance: He mentioned the Army Corp. as an option for grading the future park area.

**Erin Clawson*: She said she could mention that along with the other grading projects. Things to consider:

- Time limit
- Benefits and what would be given in exchange

Weston Youd: He felt the soil would need to be screened regardless of who does the grading; the ball fields will need a great deal of topsoil.

Mayor Christensen pointed out that the grading would be “free either way”.

4. Speed Trailer:

Deputy Butters: He brought up to his “bosses” at the Sheriff’s Dept. the possibility of purchasing a “Speed Trailer” for Woodland Hills and Elk Ridge to share...similar to the one he brought up for the traffic analysis study. The cost is about \$7,000. Agreed: Sheriff’s Dept. would pay \$5,000 toward the cost; if Woodland Hills and Elk Ridge would pay \$1,000 each. The two cities would share the trailer; with the understanding that occasionally, the County may need to use the trailer elsewhere, for special events. The trailer would be assigned to the 2 cities about 99% of the time.

Deputy Butters added that this item was on the agenda for Woodland Hills’ Council Meeting the next night. He hopes both cities would be agreeable; it would be beneficial to do more traffic studies.

5. Military Benefits – Deployed Elk Ridge Residents:

Mayor Christensen: This was brought up when the Army National Guard visited one of the Council Meetings regarding honoring the Military. The Council had discussed doing something; but it was not decided on. There are some residents that are currently deployed that would appreciate some benefits from the City. The Council needs to decide what to do. According to the City Recorder, in the past, the City has waived utility bills. If that is what the Council decides on, a further decision would have to be made on which part(s) of the bill from the City to waive.

Sean Roylance: He liked the idea “on the surface”. He assumes this would be applicable to only a small number of residents.

The Council agreed that it would be applicable to deployed citizens and only those with utility accounts...not someone’s son or daughter.

Discussion: The City pays Payson City a certain amount per connection for sewer. After further discussion, the Council felt that the City should see if Payson City would be interested in joining in the program; perhaps they would be willing to waive the sewer portion for deployed residents.

Julie Haskell: She suggested waiving the “base rate” for water.

Erin Clawson: Suggestion: Take the particular family’s average bill; but Council Member Youd pointed out that the administrative cost in tracking that might be too much. She felt the City should assist as much as possible.

Mayor Christensen: He mentioned using the Newsletter to inform residents that this offer is available and to come in and apply; the Council should be kept informed.

Sean Roylance & Erin Clawson: They both agreed that they would like to waive the entire bill.

6. Code Enforcement Proposal:

Mayor Christensen: Ray Brown met with the Mayor to discuss options for code enforcement. Trying to put together the Code Enforcement Committee has not been very successful. Most of those interested come from the same part of the City and the Mayor does not feel that is fair representation.

Mr. Brown proposed another option; he presented that in written form for review and discussion.

In general, the suggestions involved the following:

- Hiring a code enforcement employee that could also work with the Public Works Dept. (the Mayor has been considering hiring additional help for Public Works).
- Hiring someone that does not live in the City limits to avoid bias

No one had read the proposal at that time; so the Mayor recommended postponing the discussion until the next Council Meeting. He asked that the Council review the proposal and formulate questions/comments to be able to have an “educated” discussion. The City must come up with some type of enforcement.

The Mayor asked Mr. Brown to comment on the proposal he submitted:

Ray Brown: Laws, whether created nationally, state-wide or locally, are typically enforced by an officer of the law, like Deputy Butters; not by committee. “Committees” puts the laws, rules & regulations together; then someone goes out and enforces those codes.

“If you get a ‘committee’ together to design a horse, it usually looks like a camel; so that is not a good idea.” The idea is to share the person hired with Public Works...perhaps 8 hours a week would be dedicated to code enforcement; the rest would be with Public Works. Woodland Hills is on the same track; he is not sure where they are at with the process...he was aware they were having some trouble setting up their Administrative Court; he was to contact them the following week.

“We need to do something; if we don’t do anything, there will be code violations”...perhaps with fines and currently, there is no mechanism in place to handle the situations that arise.

The City Recorder reported that two individuals had come in to the City Office asking to be a part of enforcement; they are both from different areas in the City.

Comments:

Sean Roylance: He has concern about hiring someone whose time would be split between code enforcement and working in Public Works. He felt it may be better to hire someone for training purposes, since Wayne is retiring before too long. When Wayne does retire, the Public Works Dept would be back down to 3 employees; but part of the new employee’s time would be pulled away from Public Works and into code enforcement.

- He is not against the idea of finding a specific individual and compensating him/her; but
- He would like the two jobs be kept separate

Julie Haskell: She agreed that it would be preferable to hire someone outside the City; and to keep the jobs separate.

Erin Clawson: She thought the plan was to share someone with Woodland Hills; or to “swap” enforcement officers.

Ray Brown: That subject was brought up with woodland Hills and they did not want to do it. They preferred the proposal before the Council. It would be good to find someone to hire on a part time basis.

Sean Roylance: If this will be a paid position, then he would like to have it part-time and perhaps split with us and another community. (Mr. Brown related a situation in Honey Ville where this type of arrangement worked very well.)
 Discussion of “committee” vs. “single code enforcement officer”: Perhaps a committee could assist a code enforcement officer.
 *Discussion...next Council Meeting.

**ELK RIDGE
 CITY COUNCIL MEETING
 September 27, 2011**

**TIME & PLACE
 OF MEETING**

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for **Tuesday, September 27, 2011, at 7:00 PM**; this was preceded by a **City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM**. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on September 23, 2011.

6:55 PM -

REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

ROLL

Mayor: Ed Christensen; *City Council:* Julie Haskell, Erin Clawson, Weston Youd & Sean Roylance (Absent: James Mayfield); *Building Official/Public Works:* Corbett Stephens; *Public:* F. Lucretia Thayne, Hal Shelley, Ray Brown, Gordon & Traci Raymond, Sean McCarthy, Austin McCarthy, Dustin Mackenzie, Brandon Gardner, Skylie Steinacker, Korina Searle, David Clark; and the City Recorder, Janice Davis

**OPENING REMARKS
 PLEDGE OF
 ALLEGIANCE**

An invocation was offered by Erin Clawson; and Mayor Christensen led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance, for those willing to participate.

**AGENDA TIME
 FRAME**

The Regular Session started on time.

00:52:55

PUBLIC FORUM

1. Future Eagle Project: Austin McCarthy was in to ask about a Project he had in mind; he proposed installing a new “Shuler Park” sign that would look like the other Elk Ridge signs at the entrances to Elk Ridge. The Mayor asked him if he intended on drafting up a formal proposal for his project; he responded that he would. The Mayor told him to arrange an appointment with him when he is ready. He should also include James Mayfield.
City Recorder: She cautioned that there are about three scouts that are proposing the same project; whoever gets their proposal in first, will be placed on the agenda for approval.

00:55:46

**ELK RIDGE MEADOWS
 PHASE 1 –
 DURABILITY EXTENSION
 REQUEST**

(The developer was not present at that time; so the item was postponed until later in the meeting. The time frame was ahead of schedule about 20 minutes.) There was a brief discussion.
Mayor Christensen: He said he did not mind granting him an extension as long as the weeds on his property are cut. “The sunflowers are 4’ tall and it is a real mess”. Corbett Stephens has contacted him about the weeds requesting that they be addressed; there has been no compliance to this point.
After discussion, the Council felt that any consideration of granting his request should be contingent on the weeds being mitigated. (Continued on Page 5; after the arrival of Mr. Henriod.)

00:56:45

**STORM DRAIN STUDY
 PROPOSAL – LEI
 ENGINEERING**

Mayor Christensen: The discussion and any action on this proposal was postponed so the Council would have time to review it.
Comments:
Erin Clawson: ((Question directed to Corbett Stephens) She asked about the sump on the corner of Escalante Drive and Alpine Drive; it is full and sandbags were being used to divert water; is there a plan to clean that sump out?
Corbett Stephens: The vac truck has been upgraded and now has greater capacity to reach down and clean at a greater depth.
Erin Clawson: She asked that that sump be cleaned out; she has been contacted by the Pozernick’s (corner of Alpine and Escalante) and they did come to a Council Meeting to express their concern.

00:58:20

**SANITATION
 CONTRACT**

Sean Roylance: He wanted to clarify with the Council what their feelings were toward recycling.
Julie Haskell: She felt it would be good to offer the residents; but she favors the ability to opt out.
Erin Clawson: The “opt-out” option needs to be made very clear to the residents; she recalled that some citizens do not wish to feel they are being “forced” into the service.
Sean Roylance: (RE: Opt-in vs. Opt-out options) Spanish Fork adopted the “opt-in” policy and only 22% of the residents participated; Pleasant Grove adopted the “opt-out” policy and 61% of the citizens participated. He felt those are fairly typical numbers.
Weston Youd: He suggested approving funding for some advertising to properly inform the residents of the terms of the recycling. Various forms of advertising could be utilized: fliers, web site, newsletter, water bill, etc.

1:00:43

Sean Roylance: He spoke of the possibility of reducing the cost of a second can to offset the recycling costs. One additional advantage is that one can opt-out of the recycling service at any time...there is no renewal date one must wait for.

City Recorder: She pointed out that many residents have second cans for \$9/month; these cans could be traded for a recycle can, which costs less. (Council Member Roylance agreed that the decreased cost would be an incentive to switch cans.)

Erin Clawson: She wanted to know why the recycling was only mentioned with the 3-year option.

Weston Youd: He felt it was likely due to the initial investment of delivering cans and getting things set up, and possible exchanges with extra cans, etc. The thought is to give Allied a chance to recoup their investment.

Sean Roylance: He understands the idea and desire for the City to enter into the sanitation business...he agreed at first; but he was concerned with some of the figures:

- Though a good idea, it seemed contingent upon the participation of Woodland Hills. If Woodland Hills decided to leave the agreement, that would place the City in a tough spot.
- This price of the garbage truck seemed optimistic, as did the costs for maintenance
- He did not think the replacement cost for the truck was factored into the numbers

Weston Youd: He wondered if the City is in a position for investment. He also wanted to know if fuel prices were figured into Allied's offer.

Erin Clawson: For that reason, she was in favor of the 3-year option with Allied rather than the 5-year plan; the shorter time frame could give public works the chance to bring up the topic of our own business again.

Sean Roylance: He thinks that fuel prices are a separate part of the agreement; it is his understanding that fuel prices could be raised if it hits a certain range. The offer is based on per-can rates.

The Council decided to add money designated for advertising to the public about recycling (up to \$500).. He added that he does not believe the City could have a garbage business "up and running" in three years anyway...he agreed that the 3-year option was good.

Julie Haskell: She was in favor of either the 3-year option or the 5-year option.

Erin Clawson: She asked Mr. Stephens his opinion about a City-run garbage business.

Corbett Stephens: He said that he still believes that there is money to be made in the garbage business. "Allied doesn't do it to be your friend...they do it to make money; yeah, there's risk involved. Woodland Hills guaranteed 10 years...they would sign a contract with Elk Ridge for 10 years." He pointed out that once the initial investment is made, anything added to that is profit.

Weston Youd: He pointed out all that has been placed on the Public Works Dept:

- (In house rather than contract out) Cleaning out sumps, sewer lines with vac and jet trailers.

(Mr. Stephens suggested that hiring another employee, if it pays for itself, would be a solution to be able to accomplish more.)

Mayor Christensen: He agreed with the 3 year estimate to get things going with a City-run business.

Corbett Stephens: He felt the business could be set up sooner than that; but it is the Council's decision to make.

SEAN ROYLANCE MOVED, SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL, TO ADOPT THE THREE-YEAR PROPOSAL FROM ALLIED WASTE; THAT WOULD INCLUDE:

- A RECYCLE PROGRAM WITH THE "OPT-OUT" OPTION
- APPROVE UP TO \$500 TO BE USED ON COMMUNICATION/ADVERTISING; FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING SURE THAT ALL CITIZENS ARE WELL INFORMED AS TO THE "OPT-OUT" PROCESS

VOTE: YES (3) NO (1) ERIN CLAWSON ABSENT (1) JAMES MAYFIELD

1:10:03

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

City Council Minutes of 8-23-2011:

One correction was made to the minutes:

- Pg 2; line 30: "tools"

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE, TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTE FROM AUGUST 23, 2011, AS CORRECTED

VOTE: YES (4) NO (0) ABSENT (1) JAMES MAYFIELD

1:11:42

EXPENDITURES:

General: None

1. Speed Trailer:
No further questions.

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO APPROVE \$1,000 FOR THE PURCHASE OF A SPEED TRAILER; CONTINGENT ON WOODLAND HILLS ALSO PARTICIPATING IN THE PURCHASE OF SAID TRAILER

VOTE (POLLED): ERIN CLAWSON-AYE, JULIE HASKELL-AYE, SEAN ROYLANCE-AYE, WESTON YOUD-AYE (4) NO (0) ABSENT (1) JAMES MAYFIELD

Passed 4-0

1:12:19

ELK RIDGE MEADOWS PUD, PHASE 1 – DURABILITY EXTENSION (CONT.)

(The discussion was continued from the beginning of the Council Meeting)

The Mayor introduced Mr. Tom Henriod:

Tom Henriod: He is the original developer of Elk Ridge Meadows, Phase 1. There have been many economic issues in actually selling the lots that he developed. Prices for asphalt have gone up this past year. They are willing to stay on and be responsible for the improvements and put off the overlay for more time. They are also "happy to pay the bond premium to keep the bond in place". They feel the City will "get a better product" (the road) if we wait for the overlay until more building takes place.

If the overlay is installed now, then there would be more wear and tear on the asphalt and the City would be responsible for any repairs. It would be good for them to wait and it would keep the responsibility out of the City's name for a while longer...and a better road would result.

(The Mayor asked if he was asking for another year.) Mr. Henriod responded that they would agree to that; the more time they have to get more building on the lots, the better.

Erin Clawson: She mentioned the upkeep on the area around the Subdivision...namely weeds and sunflowers; what does he propose?

Mr. Henriod: He proposed that that they maintain that; however in similar subdivisions they have across the Wasatch front, when weed situations come up, they are informed and they will come and cut those weeds down. There is an agreement in place that once the 25th building permit is issued, then the planned landscaping will be resumed.

(It was pointed out to Mr. Henriod that the weeds referred to are safety hazards...not a landscaping issue.) *He committed to having the weeds cut down in another two weeks.

The Council postponed any action on Mr. Henriod's request until the next Council Meeting in 2 weeks.

1:19:02

OTHER CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS

A. Playground Equipment:

Council Member Mayfield was not present. The Mayor understood that the equipment was ordered and on its way.

RATIFY POLLED VOTES

1. Deputy Recorder Position:

(There has been a problem getting emails to Council Member Haskell; so she was not able to vote on the polled vote...she asked that she might abstain from this vote.)

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE, TO RATIFY THE POLLED VOTE TO HIRE MARY PREECE AS THE NEW DEPUTY RECORDER

**VOTE: YES (3) NO (0) ABSTAIN (1) JULIE HASKELL
ABSENT (1) JAMES MAYFIELD**

2. Development Agreement for Elk Ridge Meadows PUD, Phase 2 (Regarding a change in terms for the Water Impact Fees):

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO RATIFY THE POLLED VOTE TO APPROVE THE AGREEMENT WITH ELK RIDGE MANAGERS; REGARDING THE ARRANGEMENT FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN WATER IMPACT FEES

VOTE: YES (4) NO (0) ABSENT (1) JAMES MAYFIELD

1:22:56

NON-AGENDA ITEMS:

A. Bear in Loafer Canyon:

Council Member Youd asked Deputy Butters about any report on a bear in Loafer Canyon. Deputy Butters reported that there has been one up in private area of Loafer Canyon. There have been bears all summer. There was one sighted near Council Member Youd's home.

B. National Guard:

Council Member Clawson spoke to Lt. Buffington (National Guard) and since the City has agreed to sign the covenant showing support of the City's military. Typically the Guard likes to have some sort of event for the signing. Veteran's Day is Friday, November 11, 2011; she was thinking of putting something patriotic together for the Community for that night...the Council could be present for the signing.

All the "Covenant" does is basically agree to provide the Guard with information of the services in our area for veterans. We could announce what the City plans to do for citizens that are deployed. She wanted to know what the Council thinks.

Julie Haskell: She felt that would be very nice and she would come.

The rest of the Council generally agreed. (Council Member Roylance believes he has prior commitments that evening, but he thinks it is a great idea.

C. Eagle Project – Cameron Howell:

Cameron Howell: His proposal for his Project was to landscape the hillside next to the soccer fields. (South of the stairs; all the way along the rest of the east side and down the south side.)

- Remove the weeds
- Place weed barrier down
- Install ground cover

Erin Clawson: She pointed out that there are a lot of existing perennials in that area; she would not want those to be destroyed and would like to see the ground cover laid without destroying those existing plants.

Scout Howell: He plans on:

- Clearing the weeds
- Put ground cover and wood chips in before winter
- Plant in the spring

Weston Youd: He recalled the volunteer project in the spring...he suggested taking a look at the sprinkling system in that area; it seemed to be lacking. It will likely need to be upgraded to be able to properly water the area.

Sean Roylance: He has a concern about how long the weeds can be kept from growing back. Suggestion: spreading "Preen" (weed killer) as well as the weed barrier.

Discussion on which plants have and have not done well.

Council Member Youd recommended contacting Brad Olson to find out which plants are “deer resistant” and that will grow well.
*Council Member Clawson said she would assist the Scout in figuring out what kind of plants are currently growing.
*The Council will vote on this in two weeks; after it is placed on the agenda.

1:34:42

ADJOURNMENT

The Mayor adjourned the City Council Meeting at 7:40 PM.

City Recorder

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14