

ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
July 12, 2011

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for Tuesday, July 12, 2011, at 7:00 PM; this was preceded by a City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda as well as an Amended Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on July 7, 2011.

6:00 PM –

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA:

ROLL

Mayor: Ed Christensen; *City Council:* Sean Roylance, Weston Youd, Julie Haskell, Erin Clawson & James Mayfield; *Building Official:* Corbett Stephens; *City Planner:* Adam Castor (LEI); *Sheriff:* Deputy Brent Butters; *Public:* F. Lucretia Thayne, Tricia Gunnerson, David Clark, Jamie Towse, Deborah Squires, Dallan Olson; Brylee Biggs, David Jean, Hal Shelley, Wendy & Jeff Jones; and the City Recorder, Janice Davis

SANTAQUIN ROYLATY
ORCHARD DAYS
00:47:59

The Royalty from Santaquin arrived later in the Meeting and time was allowed at that time (See digital reference). (The Santaquin Royalty arrived later) Brylee Biggs (2nd Attendant) represented the Royalty in addressing the Council regarding the Santaquin City Celebration (“Orchard Days”). The Council was encouraged to attend. Miss Biggs went over the planned itinerary for the various events. She brought carmeled apples to the Council as gifts. Posters were provided.

MAYOR'S
DISCUSSION ITEMS

Mayor Christensen wanted to take some of the Work Session to get an idea of the thoughts and opinions of the City Council Members, as he was recently appointed to his Office.

A. City Code Enforcement:

As it is one of the duties of the Mayor to enforce City Code and laws, he realizes that the area of enforcement is one of concern. There are certain issues that he specifically wanted to review. He wanted to know and for the Council to think about, why these codes were put in place. How do we enforce them; and by what process? How do we maintain fairness in the process? In regards to letters sent out in the past, it seems that they were sent out in an arbitrary way since they were “complaint based”.

He asked for input:

City Recorder: Some of the letters were sent out “complaint based”; others were based on need after going around the City and observing the lots. The Planner at the time, Shawn Eliot, assisted in creating a list.

Mayor Christensen: He went around to the properties on the list and there were many others not on the list that should be receiving letters as well. It should be fair across the board. He feels the code is difficult to enforce.

Sean Roylance: This topic is discussed about once a year; when the fire hazard season is coming up. Enforcement is “administrative” in nature and depends on the Mayor’s philosophy. There are typically two approaches for any given code:

1. Enforce 100% - across the board

- Weeds: This is a safety issue and he feels it should be 100% due to fire hazard (including the City-owned property)

- Discussion of definition of “weeds” and clearance areas

- Could the Code on “weed abatement” be clarified? Most lots have had the soil disturbed for construction and by development.

- The Mayor suggested clearing some lots/parcels of debris to facilitate clearing: The Mayor volunteered his time and Chris Salisbury offered the use of his tractor with mower attachment.

- The Mayor asked that the Council think about “weed abatement” for the next two weeks and come with suggestions to possibly simplify the process.

00:11:06

A. Landscape Code:

Mayor Christensen: The previous week, he visited with some of the residents that people have complained about; he felt that when one listens to the reasons for non-compliance, “it is kind of touching to me”. There are many hardships that people are experiencing. Landscaping could be considered “an interpretation of the Code”; what is beautiful to one is not necessarily beautiful to another. The question: is our Code clear? Are we only addressing those that have complaints registered against them?

Sean Roylance: He feels the Code on this is clear on what is expected. He also feels the enforcement policy gives a lot of latitude and flexibility in working with the individuals. Contracts between the City and the individual can set the terms and can extend the time frame out to best accommodate the specific situation. (Case by case basis)

Erin Clawson: There is a weed abatement process in the Code; keeping one’s property clear of weeds has no cost and takes care of the fire hazard. Question: Is dirt okay? To her, that looks better than weeds.

Weston Youd: He read from the Code regarding “types of landscaping”. The Code basically refers to “growing horticulture plant material” along with “xeroscape planting” with native vegetation being encouraged. “Xeroscaping” could be defined as “dirt” and rocks.

Mayor Christensen: He agreed that “laziness” is not an excuse. He likes the case-by-case way of enforcement. He referred to the proposed “Code Enforcement Committee”, which was brought up by some of the Council Members. He feels a committee would be better than a single individual (Code Enforcement Officer) reviewing each violation. He asked how the Council Members see this Committee. How many members, what duties

would be involved? Would they “look for trouble” or simply respond to complaints?
James Mayfield: He expressed that he felt it would be best to simply respond to complaints rather than going out to locate the issues.

Mayor: He would prefer to have a diverse list of Committee Members; from zones spread out over the City...equally dispersed. *(The Council generally agreed with that concept.)*

City Recorder: When enforcement is handled only off of complaints, then inevitably there will be individuals who may be in violation of the Code that protest because of others in violation not being called upon to comply. The situations may be the same; yet one person faces enforcement while another does not. The current “weed” issue and the complaints being lodged are concerned with fire hazard and public safety; not necessarily aesthetics.

Mayor: The Committee will be tasked with being fair in their particular areas and then bring those issues up with the entire Committee.

Weston Youd: He feels that it is a concern that there are many laws to enforce with a limited number of people available to enforce them. People are welcome to lodge a complaint against a neighbor as well; if an individual feels he/she is being singled out unfairly. The City must consider available resources in the area of enforcement.

Mayor Christensen: The small staff in the Public Works’ Dept. does not have the time to go out and mow all the weeds. *(The City Recorder reported that, in past years, the City hired an outside contractor to come in and mow the sides of the roads, which is the City’s responsibility. The property owners tended not to pay the City when billed; it seemed they did not really care if the City placed liens on the property. There was an annual weed budget to cover the City’s road edges and property.)*

The Mayor asked if the Council agreed with the following:

- Contracting the mowing of City-owned property
- Send out the letters to property owners; giving them a certain amount of time to clear the weeds informing them of the potential cost if the City ends up doing the work for them. *(This is a bit time-consuming.)*

James Mayfield: He discussed that the City should be reasonable in the fees charged for the work; referring to last year when the fees were perhaps too high. *(The Mayor observed that having the right equipment to do the job quickly is important.)*

Deputy Butters: He would like to see consistency in a progressive disciplinary plan:

Step 1 – Letter (There could be as much time as needed between Steps 1 & 2)

Step 2 – Visit to the house, etc.

The plan should be some type of progressive discipline the Committee goes through.

The plan should also be posted on the website for all to refer to.

Sean Roylance: He is not sure why the Landscape Code was not followed through with; it requires an agreed upon plan to completion. Weeds do create a fire hazard and is time sensitive; it is also a very large task in that there are so many property owners involved.

All of the violators must be contacted. Other code violations could be dealt with by addressing the worst 10 or 20%; using them as examples to others; hopefully the others will self-correct.

Erin Clawson: Even with a plan in place, as with the landscape code, enforcement becomes the issue since no one wants to be the one that actually “goes to the house”.

Mayor: Hobby Animals: The same enforcement issues apply; it must be done effectively and fairly.

**The Mayor asked that the Council think about these issues so that recommendations for remedies can be on the next City Council Agenda.*

**Julie Haskell*: Suggestion: To have a joint Planning Commission / City Council Work session; to be able to exchange ideas between the two bodies.

Sean Roylance: The matter of “weeds” will not wait; it is critical that this matter be addressed sooner than two weeks due to fire hazard. He would like to outline the action to be taken now.

(Mayor Christensen questioned who the City could get to go out and identify the lots involved.)

*Mrs. Squires offered to volunteer her own services to go around the City and identify the affected lots and to make a list.

(The Mayor added that this has nothing to do with “landscaping”; just the weeds.)

It was decided that the letter should give two weeks to have the weeds cleared.

*Weston Youd: He agreed to update the previous letter, adding the concern over the fire danger associated with the weeds.

Corbett Stephens: Property is sold, the names of the owners change and the City is not made aware of the changes. If a former owner gets a letter, he/she does not care.

(The names are typically verified with the County land records; all of this takes time.)

Weston Youd: After the due diligence, then the City would have to take care of the weeds and file a lien or try to re-coup costs.

Discussion:

It was agreed that the City must take care of mowing the weeds on City property as soon as possible; either with a rented mower or contracting the work out. The City’s property needs to also be identified.

**The Mayor will get two or three bids on mowing for the Council to consider; poll the Council and then ratify the vote at the next Meeting. Council Member Clawson suggested that SOS Timp Services could be contacted to see if the City could use them, if they have the proper equipment.*

B. Single Access Code:

Mayor Christensen: (Brief History)

The City Council tabled action on January 11, 2011. The proposed code amendment had come forward from the Planning Commission with the recommendation to approve. The Council moved to table action to allow the developer of Elk Haven, Plat E, the chance to go to the Planning Commission on 1/13/2011 (just two days later) to have the Planning Commission consider their proposed code amendment.

00:32:02

Prior to the Planning Commission Meeting (1/13), the developer/applicant pulled the agenda item off the Planning Commission agenda. There has been no action to place it back on since.

(The City Recorder added that the original motion from the Council was to table action until the following Council Meeting at the end of January; however, the motion was amended to table it until after the Planning Commission addressed the applicant's proposal on 1/13.)

The Council will have to formally un-table the action to be able to move forward and revisit the recommended approval.

The Mayor inquired where the Council is regarding un-tabling the topic; he introduced the City Planner, Adam Castor, to address the Council.

00:33:32

Adam Castor: There was much discussion before the January 11th Council Meeting at both the Planning Commission level and with the Council. It is his impression that there exists some "disconnects" and that thoughts need to be collected as to how that code amendment should read. It has been a difficult amendment to get through due to the sensitive nature of the issues associated with "single access".

He has not heard from the applicant since she pulled her proposal off the Planning Commission agenda. There is nothing to legally keep the City from moving forward on the City's proposed amendment to the Code. *(Mayor Christensen interjected that David Church said the City Council could move to un-table it and move forward.)*

Current Status: The recommendation for approval from the Planning Commission still stands with the Council. There are basically two courses of action:

- Take action on the existing recommendation for approval
- Further discuss the issues at a joint Work Session

(Council Member Youd commented that the Council could choose to approve with modifications, as well...or send it back to the Planning Commission for further review.)

Mr. Castor added, he feels it is a "hot" issue and it is critical to deal with the amendment now rather than wait until development occurs.

James Mayfield: He was unfamiliar with the proposal and wanted to be briefed.

Adam Castor: He explained the Code reads that in the HR-1 Zone, a development cannot propose over 16 lots without a secondary access...up to 16 lots, there could be a cul-de-sac or a temporary turn around.

There is also the Urban Wildland Interface Zone, which references that any development should provide secondary access. Then Fire Code also references the same thing in different ways.

- So the recommendation was to pull some of the requirements from the International Fire Code and make all new developments in that area provide dual access...with an exception that if terrain features render this impractical, then a single access could be applied...as long as it is no longer than 750 ft (with Fire Chief's approval and a recommendation from the Planning Commission. Some felt that too restrictive even though it was consistent with the Fire Code, which requires special approval after 750 ft.

Safety issues must be considered carefully...evacuation of people in case of a fire and getting fire fighters to a fire has to be a priority.

The General Plan has strong language on requiring that new developments provide dual access for transportation, evacuation reasons.

(The Mayor observed that this proposed coed goes hand-in-hand with the General Plan.) Mr. Castor agreed. *Comments / Concerns:*

Sean Roylance: He is concerned that there has been a lot of incorrect information presented. Example: The proposed code conforms with the International Fire Code because it is far more limiting (proposed code) than the Fire Code. Based on the current City Code, miles of roads could be allowed south of the City. He is fine with another review of the proposed code; but he feels the comparisons have been stretched.

(Mayor: Do you think the Planning Commission has taken this into account with their recommendation?)

That is another issue: The Planning Commission approved one version; then on a technicality, it was brought back and a key part was omitted.

(The Mayor asked if Council Member Roylance felt the Planning Commission had been misled.)

"Not intentionally...necessarily...but there was definitely a mix-up there. Kelley Liddiard told me about it."

(Council Member Youd agreed that there was some confusion.)

Mayor Christensen: He recommended a joint work session to "hammer out" the details and get it done.

The Council agreed.

Adam Castor: He felt that was a good idea to get everyone on the same page. There were many things going back and forth at the same time.

*The Mayor decided that would be the plan.

C. Administrative Code:

He asked the City Recorder to explain.

City Recorder: The Administrative (Human Resource) Code is out dated and needs to be reviewed, corrected and brought up to date. After speaking to the Mayor, he agreed that this should be done. Former Mayor Dunn had suggested having former Council Member Ray Brown assist with this task since he has extensive experience with human resource issues. Perhaps this would be done on a volunteer basis; but the suggestion was to consider paying Mr. Brown (or someone) to do this.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72

(Council Member Youd pointed out that Utah League of Cities and Towns could be a valuable resource to help with this process. The person hired could work with ULCT.)

00:45:53 Mayor: He wanted to know how the Council felt about hiring Ray Brown to review the Code and make suggestions for change. The proposed changes would ultimately come back to the Council for approval(s), after being reviewed further by David Church. Regardless of whether Ray Brown assists with this or not, the Code needs to be updated.

There was no problem with Mr. Brown assisting with this project.
*Mayor Christensen will contact Mr. Brown.

00:50:48

D. Public Works Inventory – Equipment Storage:

Mayor Christensen: He has been trying to work closely with Mr. Stephens over the last couple of weeks since he was appointed. Even before his appointment, he has felt the City's Public Works Dept. had great employees. He has also felt they have to do their work with sub-standard tools and inadequate space. He feels this situation needs to be remedied.

- Better tools
- Better storage

His background is in construction and he knows the importance of working effectively with adequate tools, etc. He recommends finding the funds to fix this. An Eagle Project provided some tools; but they are used and worn out. The tools also need to be organized properly.

He recommended \$2,000 to \$5,000 for tools would be adequate. He would like for the Council to think about this.

Mayor: He feels it would be nice to get everything out of the current storage areas and make more room. Would the Council be for or against a storage building? He thought that selling the plot of land at the base of the Dugway could help pay for the building. (Council Member Youd pointed out that the lot west of him is zoned "Public Facilities".)

The lot would have to be re-zoned to be sold.

Julie Haskell: She would be in favor of a storage building; it has long concerned her to have expensive equipment sitting out in the weather.

Mayor: With the Council's permission, he would like to have Mr. Stephens get some bids on a building as well as some designs for the Council to look at.

The Council was also generally in favor of selling the lot in the Canyon to gather additional revenue in to the City.

00:56:43

E. SOS Timp Services – Insurance Clarification:

Mayor Christensen: (Brief History) At the last Council Meeting there was discussion regarding insurance coverage for Rex Davis (Public Works). The issue is that he does not have any health insurance; it was offered via a card handed to Mr. Davis and he was informed that the coverage was not good and that the premiums would be taken out of his pay checks.

There was discussion about reducing his probation time from 6 months to 3 months so the City could pick up the insurance. The Mayor said that every business he has owned or worked for typically has a 3 month probation time period; he recommended shortening his probation time. According to Corbett Stephens, he is doing all that is asked of him; he is working hard and he is excited to work for the City. The Mayor said he "appreciates Rex and the hard work he is doing".

Discussion on Mr. Davis' hourly wage and the coverage for insurance the City thought he was going to get. Certain Council Members understood he would get insurance covered through his job with SOS.

Weston Youd: He clarified that the 6 months comes from the contract with the Timp Services; after six months, there is no penalty paid if the employee is hired.

00:59:43

*The Mayor will find out what the penalties would be with SOS if Mr. Davis' probation time decreased form 6 to 3 months; he will get that information to the Council. If the penalties break even, then the Council felt it would be acceptable to shorten the probation time.

F. Summer Help:

The Mayor advertised in the Elk Ridge Newsletter that the City may be taking applications for summer, part-time help. He proposed the City hires youth for these positions. The assistance would free the Public Works employees up for other projects. If the Council agrees, the positions would be for those 16 years or older and be paid minimum wage (\$7.25/hour). They would report to the Public Works Dept.

G. City Council Agendas:

Mayor Christensen wants the Council Members to send a memo regarding the agenda item they wish to address in a Council Meeting; he asked that those be submitted by noon on the Wednesday prior to the Meeting. If the memos are not turned in, don't expect to get on the agenda.

1:02:39

ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
July 12, 2011

1
2
3
4
5 TIME & PLACE
6 OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for Tuesday, July 12, 2011, at 7:00 PM; this was preceded by a City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM.
The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

7
8
9 Notice of the time, place and Agenda as well as an Amended Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on July 7, 2011.

10
11
12 7:10 PM -

REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

13
14 ROLL

Mayor: Ed Christensen; *City Council:* Sean Roylance, Weston Youd, Julie Haskell, Erin Clawson & James Mayfield; *Building Official:* Corbett Stephens; *City Planner:* Adam Castor (LEI); *Sheriff:* Deputy Brent Butters; *Public:* F. Lucretia Thayne, Tricia Gunnerson, David Clark, Jamie Towse, Deborah Squires, Dallan Olson; Brylee Biggs, David Jean, Hal Shelley, Wendy & Jeff Jones; and the City Recorder, Janice Davis

15
16
17
18
19 OPENING REMARKS
20 PLEDGE OF
21 ALLEGIANCE

An invocation was offered by Tricia Gunnerson; and Corbett Stephens led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance, for those willing to participate.

22
23 AGENDA TIME
24 FRAME

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE, TO APPROVE THE AGENDA TIME FRAME, ADJUSTING THE START TIME TO 7:10 PM; ADDING UNDER "OTHER BUSINESS": SPLASH PADS, THE UVU CAT ALUMNI PROGRAM AND COUNCIL MEMBER HASKELL WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

The Mayor suggested for the Council not to talk over one another; if someone has the floor then that person should be the one speaking.

25
26
27
28
29
30
31 1:05:09
32 PUBLIC FORUM

1. Tricia Gunnerson:

City Celebration: She asked that the City keep a copy of the events booklet that went out to residents for whoever is in charge of the Celebration next year.

2. Dallan Olson: (new to Elk Ridge)

Concerns about a proposed vacation and plat amendment in Phase 2 of the Elk Ridge Meadows PUD. He lives at 1204 Quail Run Lane, next to one of the open spaces that Mr. Salisbury plans to eliminate. The neighbors have been talking that Mr. Salisbury plans on changing the General Plan of Elk Ridge Meadows, including:

- Taking out some of the walk-ways & open space
- Increasing the number of lots

Registered letters have gone out inviting them to a meeting with the developers.

Though the open space is not maintained, they bought with the open space in mind. They are concerned; the desire is to solve the issues in a civil way.

3. David Clark: (resident of Phase 2)

He is also concerned about Mr. Salisbury's plan. The developers advertise this open space with the sale of the lots. The changes would move his home closer to a property line on the walk-way. If the open space is eliminated and a home built on a lot, the homes would not have sufficient setbacks. None of the residents know exactly what Mr. Salisbury's intentions are.

Mayor Christensen: He and Mr. Stephens met with Chris Salisbury and it is his intention to sell those proposed lot(s) and use the proceeds to develop the park.

The City does not have much to do with the lots and the divisions.

They did talk about options...perhaps that the owners purchase the land. Mr. Salisbury said he was going to get together and have a meeting with property owners.

There will also be a public hearing with the Planning Commission for this on 7/28.

Me. Clark and Mr. Olson were encouraged to come back to those meetings.

53
54
55
56
57
58
59 1:11:55

4. Jeff Jones: He addressed the Council regarding the flooding and the potential flooding of his home on Lakeview Drive. Mayor Christensen said that he and Corbett Stephens are going to help the Gunderson's and Holsman's to grade their road properly so the runoff is channeled away from the road.

Mr. Jones said that Mrs. Gunderson has video taped the runoff going where it should go...but other water still comes from somewhere. The Mayor assured Mr. Jones that the City would be proactive in trying to solve this problem. If their efforts don't work, then there will be discussion of the possibility of installing a sump.

Mr. Jones is concerned that those plans won't really help him if there is another downpour. The Mayor will address the issue as soon as possible.

Corbett Stephens: Work has been done on Gunderson's property before, but it must be maintained and it hasn't been. The ditches that were dug are now full of silt.

Mayor: The City will help to clean all that out to get things back into working order.

Jeff Jones: He wanted to know what his recourse is if these things don't work.

Mayor: There is the option of filing a claim.

1:20:31

Jeff Jones: He would rather solve the problem. (Mayor: That is the City's goal as well. He will be asking for Mr. Jones' assistance; but they will do all that can be done to keep him from getting flooded out.) One of the questions to be answered is whose water it is.

Weston Youd: Property owners are required to handle the run-off from their own property; natural run-off would be handled through the building code and the plot layout.

Adam Castor: Property owners are responsible to not allow run-off to flow onto adjacent properties; it must be handled on-site or discharged into the drainage system. (The issue is that there is no "drainage system".)

Jeff Jones: From the street, is it then the City's responsibility to redirect that to the proper place? So if everything is draining onto the street and the street becomes the conduit...then what? "I don't know what the answer is".

Mayor: The answer is, "we are going to try this and if it doesn't work, we are going to put in a sump...it is tough to put in a sump without curb & gutter...that will be designed by the engineers...but we're going to be active in fixing it...it was a problem with Kyle (Vance) and we don't want it to be your problem...so, we will work on it...this week." (Mr. Jones thanked the Mayor and Council.)

5. Lucretia Thayne: (Administrative Code) She recalled that some of the applicants for appointment to the Council have experience with human resource...perhaps a request could go out to the residents for assistance.

The Mayor closed the Public Forum.

1:24:55

WORK SESSION ITEMS
- ACTION

A. City Code:

ERIN CLAWSON MOVED, SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL, TO UN-TABLE THE PROPOSED CITY CODE AMENDMENT REGARDING THE SINGLE ACCESS CODE

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

ERIN CLAWSON MOVED, SECONDED BY WESTON YOUD, TO SCHEDULE A JOINT WORK SESSION WITH THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON 7-26-2011 BEFORE THE NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING; WITH ACTION DURING THE REGULAR SESSION

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

B. Code Enforcement Committee:

Mayor: He recommended appointing committee members from different areas throughout the City.

Perhaps the areas could go off of the Emergency Preparedness neighborhoods.

Suggestion: To compensate the Chairperson; like the Chair of the City Celebration Committee.

James Mayfield: Suggestion: To focus the Committee on certain topics rather than having them reacting to complaints.

Mayor: Once the Committee is formed, the Council should meet with them and discuss the direction and manner in which to move forward.

ERIN CLAWSON MOVED, SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL, TO CREATE A CODE ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE, WITH THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERATIONS:

- **DIVIDE THE CITY INTO ZONES, AS WITH THE EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS NEIGHBORHOODS**
- **CHAIRPERSON: POSSIBLE COMPENSATION**
- **FIVE MEMBER COMMITTEE**

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

An announcement could go out in the Newsletter. The Mayor added that 4 or 5 individuals have volunteered; but they are all in the same neighborhood; he would prefer volunteers from other neighborhoods, as well.

*The Mayor said he will contact people across the City.

Once the Committee is formed, the by-laws should be addressed.

*The Mayor said that while the Committee is being formed, the City will:

- Mow the weeds on the City easements and property
- He (the Mayor) will mow anyone's property that will put in writing to the City that they have a need (charge?)

C. SOS Timp Services – Insurance:

*The City should find out if there will be a penalty with SOS if the probation period is shortened.

SEAN ROYLANCE MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO SHORTEN THE PROBATION PERIOD FOR REX DAVIS FROM 6 MONTHS TO 3 MONTHS; PENDING VERIFICATION THAT THERE WILL BE NO ADDITIONAL PENALTIES

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

If the penalties are more at three months, the matter should be brought back to the Council.

1:34:59

D. Summer Help:

Weston Youd: He wanted to see citizens from Elk Ridge be considered first for the summer help positions. It was agreed; in fact, that is where the applicants thus far are from.

They would be part time employees...three days/week...up to 20 hours per week; at \$7.25/hour.

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE, TO APPROVE HIRING TWO PART-TIME EMPLOYEES ON A TIMPORARY BASIS (THROUGH THE SUMMER MONTHS), AT \$7.25/HOUR; TO BE SCHEDULED UP TO 20 HOURS PER WEEK EACH...WITH A PREFERENCE TOWARD THE CITIZENS OF ELK RIDGE

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

1:37:25

CITY COUNCIL ASSIGNMENTS

Mayor Christensen: He expressed that he was not sure what the assignments were; the Council responded that it was unclear to them, as well. Whatever the Council Members were doing, that is being restored. (When a new Mayor comes on, technically, all assignments come back to the Mayor; to be re-assigned out as he wishes.)

- Julie Haskell: The Mayor would like for her to be over Public Safety, if she is agreeable. The Mayor would like to meet with her to define exactly what that means.

He would like to meet with all of the Council Members; but in the meantime, he asked that they continue in whatever capacity they were serving.

*The Mayor will organize the various departments and the Council Members will be "Dept. Heads"; then he will meet with each of the Council Members.

1:39:27

ALLIED WASTE

Sean Roylance: He has asked for further clarification from Gordon Raymond of the re-cycling options; that information has not come back from Allied. There was also a student from Salem High School, Morgan Sessions, who he needs to meet with. She had approached the Council about getting a re-cycling program going in Elk Ridge as some project for the High School.

He also needs to follow up with a citizen (Kathy Blake) that is interested and has experience with recycling. Hopefully, he will have information for the next Meeting; he does not want to wait too long, since the contract is up for renewal in about 2 1/2 months.

OTHER COUNCIL BUSINESS

"Splash Pads":

Mayor: This was a suggestion a couple of weeks ago; he has looked into it a bit. They are more expensive than he had figured: the residential ones are about \$6,000; but they are about \$55 or \$60 per foot...20 X 30 would cost about \$36,000. There are ways to recycle the water. Eagle Mountain just installed one; the cost was \$185,000. Many cities are getting away from pools and going with these because they are a lot less maintenance. There are ways to protect them from vandalism.

Estimates are being gathered from three different companies. The size would have to be decided.

*That information will be provided.

Kyle Houghton could assist in pricing out the splash pads.

Slides – Repair: Council Member Mayfield will have the quotes by the next Council Meeting. There is nearly \$100,000 in Park Impact Fees, aside from the Insurance reimbursement.

James Mayfield: He still proposes closing off the northeast corner of the playground area so parents can more easily keep track of their small children.

Lights in the Playground area: This might make a good Eagle Project.

1:47:01

Julie Haskell:

- City Celebration: Will the Committee be the same next year? Will a new Committee be formed each year? (Weston Youd: He is still looking to review the breakdown of expenditures, since the budget was exceeded by over \$2,000. It was his understanding that with the reimbursement from donations that the budget could extend beyond the \$5,000. Perhaps the budget needs to be raised for the expenditure...the hope is to break even between the donations and the expenditures.

Council Member Youd expects to have a Committee next year, but he is not sure if the same people will be interested.)

- SESD Meeting: Dan Ellsworth (SESD) said that next year, if they could be contacted 4 or 5 months in advance, SESD could participate more.

Erin Clawson:

- UVU Corn Maze: This is the planned UVU CAT Alumni Program. Payson City will have the corn maze in their City and will receive a percentage of the proceeds; Elk Ridge can participate by being involved in some way. Suggestion: The Youth Council could help run the corn maze and the City would get 25% of whatever is brought in that night. This money would go toward a scholarship to UVU. A representative will be coming to the next Council Meeting to explain further.

Payson City would also be willing to donate property for a UVU "satellite school". Anyone wishing to attend the meetings is welcome; they meet about twice/month. There will be other activities coming up as well. There will be media coverage on this corn maze.

James Mayfield: The bark in the playground is getting low; he proposed getting some in. There is \$1,000 budgeted for 2011/2012. The bark needs to be the correct grade for the playground. Spreading the bark could be a volunteer project.

*He will call and find out where to order the bark from.

2:03:52

MINUTES

1. City Council Minutes of 6-14-2011: Correction on page 2: line 21 (Change "nay" to "the" or "any")

WESTON YOUND MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF 6-14-2011, WITH CORRECTIONS VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

2:05:21

EXPENDITURES:

General: None

JULIE HASKELL MOVED, SECONDED BY WESTON YOUD, TO STRIKE THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY EXPENDITURES FOR JUNE, 2011

VOTE: YES (5)

NO (0)

ADJOURNMENT

The Mayor adjourned the City Council Meeting at 8:06 PM.

City Recorder