

ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
May 24, 2011

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for **Tuesday, May 24, 2011, at 7:00 PM**; this was preceded by a **Public Hearing at 6:00 PM** to consider the proposed Tentative Budget for the 2011/2012 Fiscal Year. The **City Council Work Session was scheduled to begin at 6:30 PM**. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on May 20, 2011; & an Amended Agenda on 5-23-2011.

6:00 PM –

PUBLIC HEARING – AMENDED BUDGET FOR THE 2011/2012 FISCAL YEAR

Public Hearing/To consider the proposed Tentative Budget for the 2011/2012 Fiscal Year, for the operation of Elk Ridge City.

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Erin Clawson; *City Council:* Weston Youd & James Mayfield (Absent: Mayor Lutes, Julie Haskell & Sean Roylance); *Building Official:* Corbett Stephens; *Planner:* Adam Castor; *Public:* Jamie Towse, Laura Loree, Lea Ann Adams, June Christensen & Karin Adams; and the *City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis

Mayor Pro-tempore Erin Clawson opened the Public Hearing at 6:00 PM.

The City Recorder reviewed a few of the changes to the 2011/2012 Tentative Budget:
(From the Memo to Council from Recorder, dated 5-24-2011)

General Fund:

Revenues:

The revenue sources to keep an eye on:

- *General Sales Tax*
- *Fee in lieu – Personal Property (Not property tax...this is for motor vehicles)*
- *Building Permits (This is looking up; three applications were turned in just today...all at once)*
- *Class C Revenue (From the State)*

Energy Grant: The City has been approved for the Energy Grant for \$24,000 (lights & heaters/furnaces for the City Hall-Fire Station) the money must be spent by April, 2011.

**A new Revenue account was created to address the Youth City Council (10-36-315); as well as a corresponding expenditure account (10-41-390).*

Expenditures:

“Non-Departmental”

- *Town Planner & Engineering is considerably lower for mid-year than prior years (But then, not much development is going on).*

- *Class C Roads:*

“Street Repair”: What is planned for the spring and early summer? There is about 65,991

balance...suggestion: Leave about 25,000 in the current year to finish out the road projects for and transfer 40,000 back into next year’s budget to add to the amount set apart for repairs and replacement of roads.

This is accomplished through “Reserved Class C Road Funds” in the General Fund revenues; this way the balance is not lost in the rest of the General Fund balance.

- *Salaries and Wages: A breakdown of the possible options including a 2.7% COLA & possible bonuses was provided in the packets for the last meeting; Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson asked for another copy of that comparison to review. The draft of the budget had the possible increases included in it, at the Mayor’s request. It was up to the Council to decide what would be accepted. Another aspect of “Wages” had to do with “On call” pay and Overtime pay. The policy for the past year has been “No overtime” for Public Works and “No On-call” pay. (Mr. Stephens is salaried and Wayne Frandsen has not had these available to him. Mr. Frandsen’s arrangement with Mayor Lutes was discussed: His schedule was figured out so his “week” was measured from Thursday through Wednesday...so the week-ends would be covered.) On the Wages breakdown, it was pointed out to the Council that a decision would need to be made, since this will also affect the newly hired employee, as well. One of the reasons Mr. Stephens needed another employee was so he could leave on the week-ends and have someone else trained to take over for him...that would require “Call-out” time...the cost is \$60/week + possible overtime.*

00:04:44

Discussion of Overtime & On Call pay. The question came up about overtime for salaried employees; Council Member Youd felt that they should be paid; but that will need to be checked out through State laws. Comp Time could be exchanged for overtime. It was generally agreed that on-call pay should be provided; how to manage it is the question. On-call commits the employee to being available.

It was also agreed that overtime should be approved.

Proposed COLA:

James Mayfield: He wanted to know how often this is done...

(There has been a “wage freeze” for the last three years...however, a bonus of 2.6% was granted last year in November.) He did not feel that 2.7% “came out to be much” and asked if there is room for it in the budget.

(The Recorder reiterated that the Mayor has requested that the proposed increases be included; then it would be the Council's decision to approved them or not. He (Mayor) also wanted to see how the General Fund would come out with those figures included.)

00:17:24

Discussion of "On-call":

It was asked why Mr. Frandsen did not have "on-call" options.

Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson: She clarified that "on-call" is not necessarily snowplow driving; it has more to do with emergencies in the water system...scada system, flooding, overflows, etc.

Corbett Stephens: He added that Mr. Frandsen has had no desire to learn what would be required to be "on call"...including becoming "Water Certified". Mr. Frandsen desires to be on-call for other things; but the need typically arises in the water system. (Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson asked if he would just not be included in the on-call.)

James Mayfield: He asked if Rex (Davis) is the only one that could be on-call that is not salaried.

(Mr. Stephens responded, yes.) Is Mr. Davis being trained to do this?

Corbett Stephens: He pointed out that there are issues associated with this:

- So far, he has not been able to turn keys to the water system over to either Rex or Wayne. Mr. Stephens was unsure what the Council expects regarding the policy of Mayor Lutes' to not allow the public works' employees to have keys. (Council Member Mayfield asked if it had to do with passing the probation period.) Mr. Stephens did not know.

(Discussion: Perhaps there were security issues. Could Mr. Davis handle the call-out at this point; or would more training be required?)

Mr. Stephens felt that, from what he had seen over the time since Mr. Davis was hired, though he may not be able to handle all of it at this point..."with a key and a telephone", he thinks the two of them (he and Mr. Davis) could take care of most issues. He added that part of the problem with Mr. Frandsen is that the scada cabinets have relays, fuses, and wires "going everywhere" and Mr. Frandsen does not want to be involved with electricity. He is confident that Mr. Davis is not going to "un-do" anything and that he could follow it through to see where the problem is. (Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson said that there must be trust in the City's employees. Question: Is Mr. Davis considered an "employee" until his probation period is over?) Mr. Stephens said that the efficiency of performance is impeded when the employees cannot get into the pump houses for any reason and Mr. Stephens has to go up to let them in.

Weston Youd: To clarify, he asked Mr. Stephens if he felt Mr. Davis is ready to have keys.

Corbett Stephens: No question. Besides, if he did anything wrong...he would be in trouble.

(Both Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson and Council Member Mayfield felt it would appropriate to bring that up in the regular session to get keys to Mr. Davis.)

James Mayfield: (Budget) *He requested that the agenda for the next meeting include an item to allow him to address some of the Park issues.

He asked if the current fiscal year's budget included any money to be able to purchase mulch for the Park. (He was directed to the Parks Dept. in the General Fund. It could be under "Facilities Maintenance" or "Special Projects"; but money would have to be adjusted to allow the expenditure in the current year.) He was hoping to purchase mulch and flowers this year.

(Mr. Stephens was asked about spraying the lawn and he said they use a hand sprayer as they ride along on the lawn mower or the ATV, which is slow an inefficient.)

Mr. Mayfield wanted to see the budget increased for materials associated with fixing the park area up.

(There was much talking over one another at this point...it was not clear who had the floor to speak.)

Weston Youd: The LDS Wards within the City had volunteers clean the park up before the City Celebration last year; the Wards are asking for times and dates to do the same thing this year.

Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson: She offered to organize volunteers if Council Member Mayfield wants this to happen in the Park; they can work together in this. She would also like to set up a booth at the Celebration where citizens could sign up for their section of the park. (Council Member Youd brought up Spanish Fork City: citizens own their planter boxes and the City provides materials for the up-keep.)

00:31:40

James Mayfield: He mentioned removing the volleyball court, could new sand be considered legitimate for using impact fees? (Explanation: Anything new can use impact fees; if something is repairing of improving existing equipment would be under "operations".)

He suggested raising the Facilities & Maintenance to about \$1,500 for next year. Maybe Mr. Stephens could look into a better way to spray.

(Other Budget accounts were discussed to determine if the amounts were correct or sufficient.)

James Mayfield: (Eagle Scout Projects) He desires to see the City contribute more to these projects. He feels it is a worthwhile investment. He said he is willing to waive his stipend for being a Council Member to be able to increase the amount available to scouts in this area. (The current and past policy has been to allow \$100 toward each project.) He feels that scouts are hesitant to work with the City in their Projects because the City does not allocate more money. Example: The sign at the entrance was an Eagle Project: the scout got donations and raised money, but not much came from the City...yet this is a benefit to the City. Council Member Mayfield serves in Scouting and he would like to see the scouts encouraged by the City when the benefit is clear.

00:35:39

City Recorder: There have been some Eagle Projects that have been poorly supervised and it has been left for the Public Works Dept. to finish off some of their work. The responsibility must be with the scout to complete their work. Part of the duty of the scout is to raise money for the project; in the past, the City has filled in where the scout falls short financially.

James Mayfield: He has a lot of experience with Eagle Projects; and he feels the City should show sensitivity toward the scout without the financial means to be able to contribute to the project. The scout could get approval from the City and if he does not perform, the City would not reimburse him for the expense. If the scout is able to show benefit to the City, then he feels the City should assist more than we have; if there is not benefit to the City, then the scout would have no assistance from the City.

Erin Clawson: The same line of thinking could apply to the volunteer work that could still be done. Currently there is no budget for volunteer projects.

Discussion:

Jamie Towse:

- She suggested more City-wide events that bring the citizens together; like movies in the Park, evening concerts or a combination of the two. Could the budget support more than the one Celebration per year? Perhaps there could be a minimal charge so the participants could be paid.

- She also suggested that the City Office hours change; she feels the hours are inconvenient to people that work.

- Scouting: She understands that fund raising is part of the scouts' obligation in presenting their projects for approval.

(Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson would prefer to address the volunteer budget before any extra suggestions are funded through the budget.)

Mayor Pro-tempore Clawson closed the Public Hearing at 6:50 PM.

00:44:12

6:50 PM -

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA ITEMS:

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Erin Clawson; *City Council:* Weston Youd & James Mayfield (Absent: Mayor Lutes, Julie Haskell & Sean Roylance); *Building Official:* Corbett Stephens; *Planner:* Adam Castor; *Public:* Jamie Towse, Laura Loree, Lea Ann Adams, June Christensen & Karin Adams; and the *City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis

CITY COUNCIL
ASSIGNMENTS –
REPORTS

1. Roads: (James Mayfield)

Council Member Mayfield reported that he met with Corbett Stephens to discuss the planned repairs. They are in agreement that Escalante Dr. needs to be replaced rather than patched. Curb & gutter would help to preserve the edges of the road; part of the problem is that run-off erodes the sides of the asphalt. They are getting bids for the total cost. The recommendation from Mr. Stephens, which is fully supported by Council Member Mayfield, is to have curb & gutter with a sump at the north end of the street.

Challenge: City funds should not be utilized to install curb & gutter for a few residents. In the past it has worked well to approach the citizens that would be affected and offer to do the work at a reasonable price (no profit); but the resident would be responsible for their frontage. As an incentive (particularly on the east side), the City could offer to extend their driveways and connect to the road, at cost.

(Explanation of the process to be followed to replace the road base and asphalt)

The next phase:

- Contact the citizens by letter

- Council Member Mayfield offered to meet with any of those who were hesitant.

- Obtain estimates for the work to be done

They feel the work can be done well within the budget. If there is any excess money, they talked about putting another inch overlay on Alpine.

*The next Council Meeting: Cost estimates should be ready.

00:48:26

Letters should go out to the affected residents with personal contact, where needed.

Suggestion: to have the affected residents come to a Council Meeting to have their concerns answered.

Corbett Stephens: Some of the residents on Escalante have requested curb & gutter. He would like to do it all at once.

James Mayfield: If the citizens are not willing to participate, can the City require it?

City Recorder: An "SID" (Special Improvement District) has been used by the City in the past. 51% concurrence is necessary. Residents can pay up front or they may finance the payment over time, at a reasonable interest rate. Most people will go along with their neighbors; especially with incentives.

Weston Youd: He wanted to make sure the priority would be to install curb & gutter in the areas where residents have already paid for it (Loafer Canyon Rd). *Mr. Stephens responded that, yes, that would be the priority; that is supposed to be done this fiscal year.*

00:52:11

CITY CODE
AMENDMENT -
WATER RIGHTS -
ANNEXATION

Condition of Annexation – Water Right Conveyance: (Staff Report from City Planner)

"DESCRIPTION:

This is a recommendation to amend Ordinance 10-17-3: Conditions of Annexation, subsections A2 and A6. This code amendment is intended to: 1) make the amount of water rights required for indoor and outdoor uses required for conveyance to the city more consistent with State requirements and actual outdoor usage, 2) ensure that the city receives the correct amount of water rights at the time of conveyance, and 3) move the time of conveyance to a point in time after the annexation proceedings, but prior to final plat approval. The code amendment reads as follows:

10-17-3: Conditions of Annexation

A. Water Rights To Be Conveyed:

2. Amount Of Water Rights Required: Any person annexing land into the city shall, ~~as a condition of annexation,~~ convey to the city, at the designated time, title to water rights having both a quantity and

flow which is sufficient in amount to meet the water use requirements of future development, as follows:

- a. Residential Uses Requirements: ~~Two and six-tenths (2.6) acre feet of water for each acre of development, but in no case less than one and three-tenths (1.3)~~ Water rights for indoor and outdoor use for each potential lot or dwelling unit shall be determined by the city using standard engineering practices and State requirements. Outdoor use will include, but not be limited to, the space contained within a building lot excluding the building footprint, hard surface patios or decks, driveway and walkway, non-irrigated areas, and roadway, as determined by:
 - (1) ~~The terms of any concurrent annexation concept plan submitted as a condition of annexation, the terms of the annexation agreement (if addressed therein); or~~
 - (2) ~~By the lot area requirements for the zone classification proposed for the annexation area, whichever is applicable.~~

~~Additional water rights shall be conveyed to the city prior to final plat approval for any deficiency in water right conveyance at annexation.~~
 - b. Other Uses Requirements: Sufficient water to satisfy the projected needs of the development proposed by the development as set forth under the terms of the annexation agreement. as determined by the city using standard engineering practices and State requirements. Other uses may include retail and commercial development, and parks and open spaces.
 - c. Water Rights Determination: The amount of water rights required for conveyance to the city shall be determined by:
 - (1) The terms of any concurrent annexation concept plan, if required as a condition of annexation,
 - or
 - (2) The terms of the annexation agreement (if addressed therein), or
 - (3) The lot area requirements for the zone classification proposed for the annexation area, or
 - (4) The final proposed development plat.
 - d. Additional water rights may be required for conveyance to the city prior to final plat approval for any deficiencies in the amount of water rights determined prior to the final proposed development plat.
6. Time of Conveyance; ~~Deferral Permitted; Conditions:~~ For all parcels signatory to the petition, and ~~except as hereinafter provided,~~ the conveyance of title to water rights to the city shall occur prior to the time of final action on the annexation by the city council. Notwithstanding the above, the city council may authorize the conveyance of the water rights at a time after completion of annexation proceedings in the instances and under the conditions hereinafter set forth: prior to final plat approval for develop
- a. ~~Good ment, and Sufficient Reason:~~ The city council, with the recommendation of the planning commission, determines that there is a good and sufficient reason to delay the time of conveyance; and
 - b. ~~Assurance of Commitment:~~ There is adequate assurance that the water rights proposed for conveyance are irrevocably committed to the city through execution of a water transfer agreement or placement in a conveyance escrow or similar third party arrangement established prior to the action to annex; and
 - e. ~~No Encumbrances:~~ There are no encumbrances on the rights which may prohibit their subsequent conveyance without cost to the city.

RECOMMENDATION:

LEI and City staff have reviewed and discussed the proposed code amendment and recommend approval

Planning Commission Recommendation:

On May 12, 2011, the Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of the code amendment with the following text additions:

- Water rights for indoor and outdoor use for each potential lot or dwelling unit shall be determined by the city using standard engineering practices and State requirements. Outdoor use will include, but not be limited to, the space contained within a building lot excluding the building footprint, hard surface patios or decks, driveway and walkway, non-irrigated areas, and roadway.
- Other Use Requirements: Sufficient water to satisfy the projected needs of the development as determined by the city using standard engineering practices and State requirements. Other uses may include retail and commercial development, and parks and open spaces.

FINDINGS:

Elk Ridge Municipal Code

The development code currently states, as a condition of annexation, the amount of water rights required for conveyance to the city. These amounts are for residential uses and are listed as two and six-tenths (2.6) acre feet for each acre of development, but in no case less than one and three-tenths (1.3) acre feet for each potential lot or dwelling unit. These amounts are generally more appropriate for developments proposing one half (0.5) acre lots, but cannot be accurately applied to developments proposing lots that are smaller or larger than one half (0.5) acre. Applying these amounts to all developments within annexation areas may result in the city receiving too little, or too many, water rights. LEI and city staff believe that amending the amount of water rights to be determined by the city using standard engineering

practices, and per State requirements, will allow the city to accurately determine the amount of water rights necessary for conveyance, as calculated by the number of dwelling units, the size of the lots, and the amount of parks and open spaces within proposed developments.
 The development code currently states that the time of conveyance of water rights shall occur prior to final action on the annexation by the city council, with an exception that the city council may authorize the time of conveyance to occur at a time after completion of the annexation proceedings.
 Due to the high probability of change between a concept plan and/or annexation agreement submitted during the annexation proceedings and a final plat, the conveyance of water rights prior to final action on the annexation by the city council may also result in the city receiving too little, or too many, water rights. LEI and city staff believe that moving the time of conveyance to a point in time after completion of annexation, but prior to final plat approval, is appropriate for ensuring that the city receives adequate water rights for developments within an annexation area.”

Adam Castor: This is a pretty straight forward Code amendment. It will:

- Ensure the City gets the correct amount of water right - the amount will be more consistent with State requirements.
 - It will move the time of conveyance from prior to annexation to Final Plat Approval (Subdivision process)
- The City Recorder questioned the amount of water right required and brought up the water right chart provided by Tony Fuller. She was assured that the recommended amount is more consistent with the State standard.*
The Recorder also brought up Mr. Fuller’s request to be on a retainer (the Council did not feel that was necessary). Will the City continue to use Mr. Fuller as a water right consultant? (Yes, on an as needed basis) Mr. Castor feels that the subdivision requirements for water rights will be fairly easy to determine. The Recorder pointed out that tracking the water rights is a benefit to the City and “tricky”.

The Mayor Pro-tempore closed the Work Session.

**ELK RIDGE
 CITY COUNCIL MEETING
 May 24, 2011**

**TIME & PLACE
 OF MEETING**

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for **Tuesday, May 24, 2011, at 7:00 PM**; this was preceded by a **Public Hearing at 6:00 PM** to consider the proposed Tentative Budget for the 2011/2012 Fiscal Year. The **City Council Work Session was scheduled to begin at 6:30 PM**. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on May 20, 2011; & an Amended Agenda on 5-23-2011.

7:13 PM -

CITY COUNCIL REGULAR SESSION AGENDA ITEMS:

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Erin Clawson; City Council: Weston Youd & James Mayfield (Absent: Mayor Lutes, Julie Haskell & Sean Royslance); Building Official: Corbett Stephens; Planner: Adam Castor; Public: Jamie Towse, Laura Loree, Lea Ann Adams, June Christensen & Karin Adams; and the City Recorder: Janice H. Davis

1:06:15

**OPENING REMARKS &
 PLEDGE OF
 ALLEGIANCE**

An invocation was offered by Weston Youd; and James Mayfield led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance, for those willing to participate.

**AGENDA TIME
 FRAME**

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY JAMES MAYFIELD, TO APPROVE THE AGENDA TIME FRAME AND TO ADJUST THE START TIME TO 7:14 PM
VOTE: YES (3) NO (0) ABSENT (3) MAYOR LUTES, JULIE HASKELL & SEAN ROYLANCE

PUBLIC FORUM

No one wished to speak.

1:07:50

**CODE AMENDMENT –
 CONDITIONS OF
 ANNEXATION**

No further discussion.
WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY JAMES MAYFIELD TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED CODE CHANGE AMENDING THE ELK RIDGE DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING CONDITIONS OF ANNEXATION – WATER RIGHTS CONVEYANCE; CODIFICATION, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, CORRECTION OF SCRIVENER’S ERRORS, SEVERABILITY, AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE
VOTE (POLL): ERIN CLAWSON-AYE, WESTON YOUD-AYE, JAMES MAYFIELD-AYE (3) NAY (0) ABSENT (3) MAYOR LUTES, SEAN ROYLANCE & JULIE HASKELL

**TENTATIVE BUDGET
 2011/2012 FISCAL
 YEAR**

Discussion:
 - Overtime and On-call pay:
Weston Youd: He felt that the only way overtime would not be allowed is if there was a vote of the Council disallowing it. The Mayor has the option of declaring that there should be no overtime hours worked.

The Council can give guidance to the Mayor; but he can set that policy, as the City Administrator.

-There is a problem in that the City Recorder, at times, does have the option of getting overtime; but due to the nature of her job, it is not frequent and still must be approved by the Mayor; is there an issue with consistency?

- Also, with on-call, it is likely that there will be overtime associated with that; how will that be handled? The question is whether to budget for both overtime and on-call for the hourly employees; or not.

City Recorder: Even though it is administrative in nature, it is the Council that is deciding on the budget at this time; so the question remains.

1:13:18

James Mayfield: He is in favor of the on-call pay (Council Member Clawson agreed). He feels it forms a binding "contract" with that employee; it is also compensation for the time. Salaried employees are exempt from on-call.

-Overtime: In the past about 5% of gross wages has been budgeted for overtime (comp time or overtime is at time & 1/2).

- Last year the employees received a bonus rather than COLA (2.6%).

- Possible 1.734% bonus (figured off of a comparison between 2009/2010 & 2011/2012...same number of employees...after wages, salaries, COLA...that was the difference between the total expenditures.) Bonuses would be decided later in 2011; around November.

In years past, there has been a COLA + a year-end bonus; until the economy became a problem. Bonuses were granted in a couple of ways:

- A certain percentage across the board

- Performance based

The Mayor recommends and the Council approves the recommendation; or not. "Across the board" has been preferable to most of the Mayors, simply because it balances out according to the number of hours worked anyway.

Weston Youd: The cost of living has gone up.

Erin Clawson: She felt the COLA (2.7%) should be approved and to "ear-mark" the bonus, to be reviewed later in the year. (Council Member Mayfield agreed.)

Storm Drain:

Mr. Stephens had suggested looking into a storm drain impact fee; which would require an impact fee study. LEI has done a study on the Storm Drain system in the past; perhaps they could use the information from that to create a study for an impact fee. Council Member Youd has been talking to Curtis Roberts about the service charge, as well.

MAG assisted the City with the Park Impact Fees Study; there is the possibility they could assist with this.

1:22:11

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO APPROVE ON-CALL PAY FOR PUBLIC WORKS; TO BE INCLUDED IN THE 2011/2012 BUDGET

VOTE (POLL): ERIN CLAWSON-AYE, WESTON YOUD-AYE, JAMES MAYFIELD-AYE (3)

NAY (0) ABSENT (3) MAYOR LUTES, SEAN ROYLANCE & JULIE HASKELL

It was discussed that the Mayor would administer this however he sees fit; within the budget.

JAMES MAYFIELD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO APPROVE THE BUDGET FOR THE 2011/2012 FISCAL YEAR; TO INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING, AS OUTLINED IN THE PREVIOUS DISCUSSION:

- OVERTIME

- ON-CALL PAY

- COST-OF-LIVING INCREASE (COLA...AT 2.7%)

- BONUSES AS OUTLINED (TO BE DECIDED TOWARDS THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR)

- THE AMENDED CHANGES, AS DISCUSSED IN THE WORK SESSION

VOTE (POLL): ERIN CLAWSON-AYE, WESTON YOUD-AYE, JAMES MAYFIELD-AYE (3)

NAY (0) ABSENT (3) MAYOR LUTES, SEAN ROYLANCE & JULIE HASKELL

1:25:17

CITY COUNCIL ASSIGNMENTS

Roads:

James Mayfield:

1. After installing curb & gutter (Loafer Canyon); he proposed that the next project be road repairs on Escalante and Alpine; depending on the quotes that come in.

2. The next on the priority list would be communication with those who live on Escalante regarding the curb & gutter and the possibly of them paying for their portion. (*We must also find out what the legalities involved with assessing those residents are.)

The problem with Escalante is that the water is eroding that road faster than normal; that will continue until corrections are made.

He is confident that the work will come in under the budgeted amount.

JAMES MAYFIELD MOVED, SECONDED BY WESTON YOUD, TO MOVE FORWARD TO:

- COMMUNICATE WITH THE RESIDENTS ON SOUTH ESCALANTE THROUGH LETTER AND IN PERSON, WITH REGARDS TO THE CURB & GUTTER

- LOOK INTO QUOTES TO REPLACE ESCALANTE DRIVE AND OVERLAY ALPINE DRIVE

VOTE: YES (3) NO (0)

ABSENT (3) MAYOR LUTES, SEAN ROYLANCE & JULIE HASKELL

Mr. Stephens asked how to proceed with this. Who will write the letters? Last time the Mayor and Ray Brown wrote the letters. Council Member Mayfield suggested asking the Mayor what he would like to do.

*(Get a copy of the letter sent previously.)

*James Mayfield: He would like to plan on having the affected residents in to a Council Meeting to discuss options with them; perhaps include this invitation in the letter sent to them. It was felt that the letter should go out fairly soon. Corbett Stephens said he would talk to the Mayor about the letter. He added that construction would take about 3 weeks.

*Council Member Mayfield offered to be responsible for the letter and contact with the residents, if the Mayor is not available or wishes him to do so.

1:34:35

City Celebration:

The packets went out. Council Member Youd asked if there is anything the Committee needs from the Council.

Jamie Towse: There will be games in the Park for the teens; rather than a movie due to costs involved.

*Weston Youd will check on volunteers to work with Brian Burke for the carnival.

Council Member Clawson said it has been difficult in the past to get volunteers.

1:36:56

SUVMWA STUDY –
POWER POINT

Weston Youd: Ground Water Recharge Feasibility Study

“Ground water Recharge” is also referred to as “Ground Water Banking”.

Explanation:

Currently, all the ground water fills the tributaries and flows to Utah Lake and later to the Great Salt Lake and to Salt Lake County. The idea of a “recharge” is to capture some of that water and, through various methods, force it back into the water table.

Example: Elk Ridge uses the same aquifer as Woodland Hills and Payson and we are all pulling from it. If we can figure out a way to recharge that ground water, then that would be “banking” it and it would not flow down to Utah Lake.

This is the subject matter of this proposed study.

Why needed?

The Great Basin and the Uinta Basin are closed to appropriations (in other words, there is a finite amount of water...there will be no more “new” water being added to this area).

Elk Ridge is lucky since we are at the top of the flow; but down the hill (like Spanish Fork) there is a greater impact; added to that is the continued growth in water demand.

“Proposed exchange in return flows”:

Corbett Stephens: His understanding is: for every acre foot of water that is put back into the ground, there is an acre foot you can pull out...over and above what water rights allow.

Weston Youd: Elk Ridge does not have another source of water other than ground wells.

This is going to be a multi-year project.

- 1st Year: Feasibility Study
- 2nd Phase: With the Study; what will be needed?
- 3rd Phase: Pilot Studies: Are things working?
- 4th Phase: Full-scale design and construction
- 5th Phase: Operations & Maintenance
- 6th Phase: Continue to look for expansion and improvements

This should all take from 8 to 12 years.

What has been done?

- SUVMWA selected CRS Engineering Firm to perform this Study (They did the recharge study for northern Utah County).
- Authorize funding of scoping the task
- Conducted a series of “scoping” meetings
- Budget estimate
- Conducted funding search for study phase
- Strawberry Water Users has committed \$38,000 in funding for the study
- The US Geological Survey has committed \$28,000 (matching funds)

There will be benefits to this.

- Central Utah Conservancy District has committed to pay 50% of the study

The benefits and details of the Study were reviewed.

The funding is specifically for the Study; it could be 2 to 3 years. There will be further costs as the process progresses. The anticipated growth is taken into consideration. This will update the old study done in 1996.

The total cost of the Feasibility Study is about \$400,000 (There is no assessment to Elk Ridge).

What action by the Council?

This is not new; this process is used across the Nation.

They want to know if Elk Ridge will “opt” into the idea...it is more of a guide.

Council Member Youd shared some graphs with the Council.

JAMES MAYFIELD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, THAT ELK RIDGE CITY SUPPORT THE FEASIBILITY STUDY, AS PRESENTED

VOTE: YES (3) NO (0)

ABSENT: (3) MAYOR LUTES, SEAN ROYLANCE & JULIE HASKELL

2:00:22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NON – AGENDA
ITEM

- *Safety for the Roads: (Erin Clawson)* Deputy Butters came by to give her information. The police can stop a person for not being buckled into a seat-belt. He provided signs that remind citizens to “buckle up”.

- *Keys for Rex Davis:*

ERIN CLAWSON MOVED TO ALLOW THE NEW CITY EMPLOYEE IN PUBLIC WORKS TO HAVE A SET OF KEYS TO BE ABLE TO BETTER DO THE JOB HE WAS HIRED TO DO; ACCORDING TO HIS MANAGER

Discussion:

Weston Youd: He felt the Mayor’s input would be good to have on this. He would not want to presume to mix Administrative and Legislative.

It was suggested to ask the Mayor first. *(It was agreed.)*

Motion died due to lack of second.

2:05:49

CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES

A. City Council Minutes of 5-10-2011:

WESTON YOUND MOVED, SECONDED BY JAMES MAYFIELD, TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF 5-10-2011; AS PRESENTED

VOTE: YES (3) NO (0)

ABSENT: (3) MAYOR LUTES, SEAN ROYLANCE & JULIE HASKELL

EXPENDITURES:

General: None

ADJOURNMENT

At 8:14 PM, the Mayor Pro-tempore adjourned the Meeting.

City Recorder