

ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
October 26, 2010

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for Tuesday, October 26, 2010, at 7:00 PM; this was preceded by a City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda as well as an Amended Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on July 22, 2010.

6:00 PM –

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION AGENDA:

ROLL

Mayor: Kenneth O. Lutes; *City Council:* Julie Haskell, Sean Royslance, Weston Youd, Derrek Johnson & Erin Clawson; *Building Official:* Corbett Stephens; *Sheriff:* Deputy Brent Butters; *Code Enforcement Officer:* Ray Brown; *Public:* Jim & Jamie D. Towse, Lucretia Thayne, Laura Loree, Lynn Peterson, Eric & Karen Adams, Neil Dykstra; Gary Hansen, Tricia Gunnerson, David Simmons, Brandon Griffiths, Scouts: Travis Nielsen, Mason Bigler, Ben Preece & Colter Hansen; *and the City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis.

CODE
ENFORCEMENT
REPORT

Raymond Brown: *(Presentation on Code Enforcement)*

Summary of Nuisance Violations:

- About 30 calls came in
- 29 Inspections (Possible violations)
- 21 Violation Notices given out
- 11 Corrections
- 6 Extensions Granted
- 2 Awaiting a Planning Commission Meeting (Application for Conditional Use Permit)
- 2 Non-compliant

Calls came in for various reasons.

Percent Summary:

- 52.4% - Compliant
- 28.6 – Extensions
- 9.5% - Pending approvals
- 9.5% - Non-compliant

This all comes to about 90% "Compliant" (Those with extensions or pending approvals are not out of compliance).

Comments: Most citizens are willing to comply with the codes of the City. Mr. Brown was made aware of some of the tough times people are going through and coming into compliance with a landscaping code may not be high on their priority list; compassion must be applied.

He did find an overall appreciation that the City was attempting to enforce the codes.

A small percentage of citizens either will not or cannot comply with the City's codes.

Recommendations:

- Continue code enforcement
- Review the current noticing procedure
- Establish an "Administrative Court" (Information to follow)
- Follow-through (with non-compliant individuals)

Mr. Brown reported that the general reception of enforcement has been reasonable and cooperative. He is pleased that the citizens are trying to comply; though there will always be the few that refuse.

The "few" (in reality, two citizens) that refuse to comply has brought us to the point of having to impose fines.

This position has led to a review of the current process and where it leads to; which was the topic of his next presentation to the Council that night.

(Council Member Haskell asked if any citations had been issued to date and, if so, how were they issued?) Mr.

Brown responded that two "Administrative Citations had been issued with time limits to comply; when it comes to the point of non-compliance with the Administrative Citation, then that citation would be issued by the Sheriff's Dept. (has not occurred yet). The intent is to work with people and to make an attempt toward compassion.

10:25

(Council Member Royslance asked about the time frame on extensions granted.) There has only been one granted due to a pending law suit on some construction issues; it would not make sense to insist on landscaping now when their yard will have to be torn up by heavy equipment necessary to fix problems associated with the house settling. The owners were given until the spring of 2011 and their situation will be reviewed again to see where they are...they will possibly need an additional extension.

12:40

ADMINISTRATIVE
COURT
PRESENTATION

Raymond Brown: As mentioned, the enforcement process had gotten to the point of issuing a citation. According to the current code, this would be considered a misdemeanor if found guilty and that would result in a "criminal record" for the individual in violation of the Nuisance code; which is rather harsh and not the intent of the code. Mr. Brown spoke to David Church to discussion options.

14:03

(Summary of findings)

Enforcement by Municipalities can take 2 forms:

- 1. Criminal Statutes: (resulting in fines and/or prison)
- 2. Civil Statute: (fines)

The current process is "good" (review of process for violations under present code)

The problem arises when an official citation is issued which results in judicial court. Compliance with possible fines as a penalty should be the intent; not creating criminal records.

Possible Solution:

- Amend Code to establish an "Administrative Law Court" (suggestion from David Church)

Mr. Brown has had experience with "mediation" at Brigham Young University; which is an "administrative" function, not a "judicial" function.

- An Administrative Law Judge would be appointed by the Mayor with approval from the Council
- Citizens could have the opportunity to seek a hearing for alleged violations
- This option is less adversarial and less costly to both parties

Issues & Processes associated with Justice vs. Administrative Courts were discussed.

17:52

Administrative Court - Fines and Fees:

- 1st Offence – fines; 2nd Offence – Perhaps a higher fine

Appeal process: He suggests Administrative Court and he then reviewed the process to proceed after notice of violation, including the timing of fees.

There is not enough activity in Elk Ridge to be approved with our own Judicial Court; so an appeal would end up in judicial court elsewhere. It is really not to the City's advantage financially to have a Judicial Court since most of the money from fines and surcharges would not stay in Elk Ridge; whereas, with Administrative Court, that money stays with the City.

He made the point that we do not want to "lump" nuisance violators in with criminals.

Cities successfully using Administrative Courts:

- West Valley City
- Saratoga Springs
- Park City

The Courts meet once a month in these cities and the process is working for them.

Mr. Brown informed the Mayor and Council that they and he are invited to attend one of the Courts in November in Saratoga Springs.

Another advantage:

By nature of the position of an "elected official"; Council Members and the Mayor receive many calls and many are regarding nuisance violations and/or enforcement. Should one of those nuisance calls come in and it is "in the process", this is an "out" for the Council, because there really should be no involvement on the part of the Council, the Mayor and any of the office staff; that would be a "conflict"...the matter needs to "run its course".

(Mr. Brown related a bit of the history of the rules governing administrative courts.)

30:27

Questions:

Erin Clawson: She wanted to know how many steps there would be to get to Administrative Court.

Raymond Brown:

- Finding of Nuisance (The individual in violation can file for Administrative Court at that time)
- If the person does not file, after 10 days, the established process begins.

In talking to some one, there are two steps:

- Notice (Volunteer Agreement can be filed at this time; which would avoid the citation)
- Administrative citation

Erin Clawson: Following the current code ends with a criminal offence with all of the associated fees and fines. She also asked who the Administrative Judge "answers to".

Raymond Brown: He replied: District Court and to the City Council. A code amendment would be necessary to create this proposed process.

Deputy Butters: He added that he would support the idea of an Administrative Court; it makes sense that the City be allowed to keep the revenue from fines brought in, rather than the District Court. He agrees that these types of violations should not generate a criminal charge. (Mr. Brown agreed.)

37:13

YOUTH COUNCIL PRESENTATION

Erin Clawson: She reminded the Council that in January 2010 she had presented the idea of her heading up a Youth Council in Elk Ridge and all agreed it would be a good idea. Since that time, she has done some research and has been looking for some very special people to be leaders to the Youth in this endeavor. In working with June Christensen, Council Member Clawson was led to Laura Loree; who is a resident of Elk Ridge and has agreed to be the liaison to the City Council, through Council Member Clawson, for the Youth City Council. Jamie Towse has agreed to work with Mrs. Loree as her assistant. These are volunteer positions. Mrs. Loree was introduced and the time was turned over to her.

38:25

Laura Loree: (She had a handout for the Council explaining Youth Councils)

Mrs. Loree began by telling a bit about herself and her qualifications in working with the youth; including three educational degrees:

- Associates Degree in Administration of Justice
- Bachelor's Degree in Social Work
- Master's Degree in School Counseling & Education

She mentioned that Mrs. Towse also works in education; so the combination should work fine.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72

Handout:

- Brief history
- Purpose and goals, including a mission statement:
 "The Mission of the Association of Youth Council is to provide opportunity for youth to develop mature citizenship, leadership, a sense of personal achievement, and an understanding of government."
- Types of Youth Council activities
- Fund Raising activities
- "Sister City" Resolution
- Benefits of the Program
- Description of the positions to be filled and their associated duties
- The Election process

There was a slide presentation that covered much the same information that the handout did, including an explanation of types of Youth Council, their duties and funding other than fund raising. She listed the many positive aspects of having a Youth Council.

*Erin Clawson: She referred to the list of activities and asked that the Council Members review it and add to it and offer their opinions.

48:08

SPEEDING &
TRAFFIC CONTROL

Erin Clawson: This has been an important topic to her for some time. She gets calls and emails from citizens concerned about speeding and traffic control in Elk Ridge. She asked Deputy Butters to conduct a traffic analysis in Elk Ridge on Elk Ridge Drive.

48:59

Deputy Butters: (Utah County Sheriff's Dept.) He reported on the traffic study he did with the use of a "Speed Trailer" (RU2 Traffic Data Center) he borrowed from Eagle Mountain and posted on Elk Ridge Drive.

How it Works:

- It measures the top speed for each vehicle and that is what it records
- Graphs and charts make up the reports generated by the machine

- Page 1:

Compares average speed with the posted speed limit (Average speed = 27.6 mph) of the 10,720 vehicles passing that point in 6 days. The speed falling into the "85th percentile = 32 mph (generally considered when setting speed limits). This indicates that the speed limit is where it should be for that street.

- There were reports for every day; comparing the time of day with time of day and speeds.
- Report showing 15 minute intervals (vehicle count vs. speeds)

Deputy Butters said that though there are a few vehicles going over the speed limit, he did not think there was a "huge speeding problem there"...he felt the speed limit is where it needs to be (30 mph).

Possible Solutions:

- ❖ Paint center lines and fog lines on major roadways (Elk Ridge Dr & Loafer Canyon Rd)
- ❖ Paint white lines on roadways; even with stop signs along major roadways
- ❖ Add signs to unmarked intersections (Map included and with 34 suggested signs)
- ❖ More citations? (47 handed out since June, 2010)
- ❖ Promotional Stopping campaign (Positive reinforcement)

1:00:35

Erin Clawson: She envisions the Council, over time, coming up with a traffic calming plan. The suggestions made by Deputy Butters should be considered; but she also realizes that the City cannot afford to do all of it at once. She requested the Council's input regarding the options available. Once a plan is in place; the goals can be worked toward regardless of who is on the Council. A safer Community is the goal for all.

1:01:24

Weston Youd: He agreed with wanting a safer Community; with his experience in losing pets and trying to stop speeding vehicles on Loafer Canyon Road, endangering children and all alike.

He requested the same study for Loafer Canyon Road.

Deputy Butters: He reminded the Council the "traffic-speed trailer" was on loan from Eagle Mountain and he is not sure how much they will be willing to loan it out. He may be able to impose on their generosity a "time or two more", but the trailer is not available through the Sheriff's Dept. He agreed that it is nice to have the data to back up the posted speed limits. Something should be done with the data collected.

Erin Clawson: She mentioned Hillside Drive, Canyon View Drive and Rocky Mountain Way as problem roads for speeding...or most anywhere in the City. She feels a good starting point is with "awareness" and educating the citizens on what the Council is doing to combat this issue.

She shared the idea of "raised cross-walks" that she had seen in Cedar Hills. She felt this could be a good traffic calming device to consider. Cedar Hills has 15 in their City; they are used year-round and work well with snowplows. They are conducive to speeds of about 25 to 30 mph...higher speeds could cause problems.

*Council Member Clawson would like to have the Council create a plan for traffic calming. She felt there could be enough interest to involve the citizens on a volunteer basis. She would like to see some action taken later in the Regular Session.

1:08:00

LOAFER CANYON
WATER LINE
REPAIRS

Corbett Stephens: Mr. Stephens reported a break in the Loafer Canyon Water line. He showed the piece ("saddle") that was worn away. He said this was a testament that things "don't just maintain; they are always falling apart"...it's just the rate at which they are falling apart and when you find out".

He went on to explain that as a result of the break in the line, a long-standing problem was brought to light again: the end of the line issues at the location of the Peterson's and the Spencer's on South Loafer Canyon Rd.

Issue: Every time a hydrant on the north end of Loafer Canyon Rd. is opened, it drops the pressure on the south end of the line. If a hydrant on the south end is opened and on the north end...the hydrant on the south end will actually suck air; this eventually stirs up the sediment and the Peterson's and Spencer's get this

sediment (dirty water) at their house. After the break in the line and the line was charged back up, they were there 3 hours waiting for the dirt to run out of the water. “When it first started, you would swear it was chocolate milk...it was that dark; and that happens every time there is a pressure loss on the line.”

Proposal:

- Place a “blow-off” on the end of the line that will allow the line to be flushed and get rid of the sediment as it accumulates and before it gets into their houses. (He explained how this would be done.)
- Move the PRV (Pressure Reducing Valve):
The location of the PRV was determined (from what he has heard) by Gary Bowen and should have been installed further down the line. With the PRV in its present location, the pressure at the very north end of Loafer Canyon Road is 165 lbs. (too high) and causes many problems. The break that occurred did not “just happen”; it has been happening over time.
He proposed moving the vault and moving the PRV down the street. This will solve pressure problems on both the high end and the low end. The issues at the south end will be taken care of and the pressure at the north end will be much more manageable. This will also make any future growth at the south end of Loafer Canyon more manageable.
- To move the PRV down the road 57’ in elevation, it would reduce the pressure at the new location by 25 lbs. Everyone on south end of the new location would see an increase of 50 lbs and everybody on the north end of the new location would lose 25 lbs.

At 155 N. Loafer Canyon Rd. (according to “Google Earth”) that is 57’ in elevation...which puts the pressure at this house (Ault’s) at either 135 lbs or 85 lbs (depending on if the PRV is on the “upstream” side of this connection or the “downstream” side.

Mr. Stephens would like to talk to the residents to make sure they are okay with this change. There would not be much impact on the residents.

**ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
October 26, 2010**

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for **Tuesday, October 26, 2010, at 7:00 PM**; this was preceded by a **City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM**. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda as well as an Amended Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on July 22, 2010.

ROLL

Mayor: Kenneth O. Lutes; *City Council:* Julie Haskell, Sean Roylance, Weston Youd, Derrek Johnson & Erin Clawson; *Building Official:* Corbett Stephens; *Sheriff:* Deputy Brent Butters; *Code Enforcement Officer:* Ray Brown; *Public:* Jim & Jamie D. Towse, Lucretia Thayne, Laura Loree, Lynn Peterson, Eric & Karen Adams, Neil Dykstra; Gary Hansen, Tricia Gunnerson, David Simmons, Brandon Griffiths, Scouts: Travis Nielsen, Mason Bigler, Ben Preece & Colter Hansen; *and the City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis.

1:18:01
OPENING REMARKS
& PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE

An invocation was offered by Mrs. Tricia Gunnerson; and Scout Colter Hansen led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance, for those willing to participate.

AGENDA TIME
FRAME

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON TO APPROVE THE AGENDA TIME FRAME, ADJUSTING THE START TIME TO 7:25 PM
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

1:19:26
PUBLIC FORUM

Mayor Lutes encouraged those wishing to address the Council to be as concise as possible.
Lucretia Thayne: (Code Enforcement) She wished to express her concern that there seems to be so much public concern about lawns and landscaping. She feels some people think of a nice lawn as an indicator of whether a person is a “good citizen” or not. She feels that we have “better things to do than worry about their neighbor’s yard”. She reported that there are many others that are also concerned about this type of enforcement.
Jamie Towse: (Code Enforcement)

- Proper role of government: It should be for public safety, etc....but not to patrol people’s yards.
- Water problems (recent): She felt the announcement to the citizens was very confusing. The process of informing everyone was disorganized, especially on the week-end. She suggested a sign letting everyone know the water was safe to drink and what was going on.
Perhaps in the future, we can be clearer.

Mayor Lutes: He explained the reasoning behind the calling to alert residents of why the water may have a slight smell and taste of chlorine...that the lines were being flushed as a preventative measure. The “telephone tree” method was not clear and the message became a bit confused.
On Saturday (10/30) the Emergency Preparedness Committee is going to be discussing the future of disaster preparedness...communications will be one of the topics.
Corbett Stephens: He pointed out that his cell phone number is listed in the Bugle (City newsletter). He encouraged people to use it...he will answer it.

1:26:56

ACTION ON
WORK SESSION
ITEMS

1. Administrative Court:

- Though the direction of creating an Administrative Court seems appealing to the Council, they needed time to consider the information provided this night.
- The Council is interested in attending an established court; Saratoga Springs has invited me. Brown and the Council Members to attend one of theirs.
- Council Member Clawson would like to ask those associated with the court in Saratoga how they like it and what benefit it has been to their city.

Ray Brown: There are pending matters that are going to need to be addressed; so “time is of the essence” regarding getting this process in place.

*He will let the Council know when the sample court in Saratoga is scheduled.

Sean Roylance: He thought the City Council already had the power to weigh circumstances and make judgment calls. He is concerned about the amount of fees that could accrue within the process. Accrued fines on top of the expense of actually putting a yard in could be overwhelming.

Ray Brown: Those issues are already in the code and an administrative judge would be able to make those decisions regarding extenuating circumstances.

Sean Roylance: Though he is in favor of the idea; his concern remains that it works...as long as fair people are in place to make those judgments. Perhaps, since a vote of the Council is with more people, there could be a better chance of fairness.

Weston Youd: He agreed that there is a lot of information to consider and feels the invitation to the court in Saratoga would be good to attend.

There would have to be a code change to allow the administrative court to be implemented.

Erin Clawson: She sees the concern with fairness in the hands of one person.

1:34:55

Raymond Brown: He pointed out that the “judge” would be an employee of the City and, as such, would be answerable to the Mayor and to the Council. Most of the cases do not get to that point, anyway. Most people make arrangements to remedy their situation.

**This will be on the next City Council agenda.*

2. Youth Council:

Council Member Clawson mentioned that this concept had been preapproved last January; not we are just ready to move forward.

1:37:10

3. Speeding & Traffic Control:

**This will be on the next City Council agenda.*

Deputy Butters came to the Council table and pointed out on the map he submitted the locations of the proposed stop signs.

Council Member Youd mentioned that Council Member Haskell’s insights would be beneficial, since she has worked closely with the Sheriff’s Dept. in the past.

Both Council Members Roylance and Haskell said they would like the “unmarked” intersections to be addressed first.

Lucretia Thayne: She felt a 3-way stop at the intersection of Elk Ridge Drive and Park Drive would be present difficulties in the winter. To stop and then go on an uphill incline creates problems in the winter. A 3-way stop will not address the speeding.

Derrek Johnson: There are several places like that in the City.

Sean Roylance: He listed other traffic calming methods; such as painting lines on the roads.

Mayor Lutes: It is recommended that winter is not a good time to be painting lines on the streets; since the snow and plows will take the paint off. He recommended considering the information presented as far as traffic calming and create a plan over the winter months. The location of a few stop signs could be decided on and they could be put in place now.

(There is only about \$2,000 in the current budget for signs; that could be amended.)

Corbett Stephens: We have some posts and hardware; no signs. *(No costs were available at that time.)*

**Cost need to be researched by the next Meeting.*

Estimate: The sign itself is about \$35 or \$45, not including posts and hardware.

Sean Roylance: We have gone a long time without them; he did not see that purchasing signs had to be done right away.

Deputy Butters: He agreed that spring is the better time to paint lines; but a plan should be in place.

1:46:08

PLANNING
COMMISSION
APPOINTMENT

Mayor Lutes: He expressed the City’s appreciation for John Houck and the service he has rendered by serving on the Planning Commission. He resigned due to scheduling conflicts; making him unable to attend the Meetings.

Recommended: Randy Jones (current Alternate Member) to take Mr. Houck’s position as a Regular Member; and Dan Steele to be appointed as an Alternate, to take Mr. Jones’ place.

SEAN ROYLANCE MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO APPOINT RANDY JONES TO FILL THE POSITION VACATED BY JOHN HOUCK AS A REGULAR MEMBER OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

ERIN CLAWSON MOVED, SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE, TO APPOINT DAN STEELE AS AN ALTERNATE MEMBER OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

1 1:47:26

2
3 RESOLUTION –
4 FEE SCHEDULE –
5 UTILITY SERVICE
6 CHARGES

After discussion, it was decided to recommend the following fees, as listed in the motion to approve:
The discussion included comparisons with other nearby cities.
It was decided that any "voluntary turn-offs" would be free of charge; however these residents should still be charged at least the Base Rate, since the bond payments are calculated on the Base Rates. They are still considered a "live" connection.

(Corbett Stephens mentioned a previous discussion regarding charging water rates on a different basis:

- Having a Base Rate that yields no water
- Start charging at gallon #1 and up...on a tiered structure (Spanish Fork does this)

The question was whether this approach would encourage no watering at all, since residents would be charged for every gallon beyond their initial Base Rate. *(This was a discussion for another time.)*

Mayor Lutes: He commented that though there should be compassion shown to people experiencing hardships; there are those that abuse the system and even leave town without paying their utility bills. The budget has an account that 'bad debts' are figured into...over \$2,000 last fiscal year. Compared to other cities, Elk Ridge's fees are more lenient.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 2:02:13

Sean Roylance: He summarized what his opinion was on these fees: The motion was basically Council Member Roylance's suggestion; he stated he was not particular, one way or the other, in favor of the fee to turn a meter back on.

Further discussion on the above statement.

Mayor Lutes allowed a few comments from the public:

Neil Dykstra: He suggested a \$20 Red Tag fee (the Council did not agree).

Lucretia Thayne: She brought up the option of being allowed to pay off a back bill over time; or to pay any re-deposit over time, if delinquent (the Council did not agree with timed payments...staff can work this out).

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 2:21:55

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE, TO APPROVED THE FOLLOWING UTILITY SERVICE FEES; EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2011:

CITY UTILITY FEES

- **Deposit = \$100 (Refundable after 18 months of consistent good payment record)**
- **Administrative Fee = \$10 (paid with deposit)**
- **Late Fee = \$10.00 (After the last day of the month)**
- **Involuntary Shut-off Fees: (After the 15th of the Month)**
 - **Red Tag Fee = \$15 (To deliver warning of shut-off)**
 - **Disconnect (Shut-off) Fee: \$25**
 - **Re-deposit: \$100**
(2nd Shut-off: If no deposit is on record with the City, with the 2nd shut-off within an 18 month period of time, \$100 must be re-submitted to the City - *Non-refundable*)

This Deposit increases \$25 with each subsequent Shut-off

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

Sean Roylance brought up that the City Web site has the capability to do mass emails and texts; in case of emergencies or notifications. There needs to be a current list of addresses. There needs to be a campaign to gather these addresses.

**Council Member Roylance said he would write up something for the newsletter.*

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44 2:24:17
45 PARKS

Derrek Johnson: Utah Local Governments Trust decided to reimburse the City for the playground equipment that was burned, after all. Initially the claim was denied because they said the equipment was not listed specifically under our liability policy; however another city had a similar situation and they decided to cover their loss, so ULGT reconsidered and decided to cover Elk Ridge's claim as well. They sent a check to the City in the amount of just under \$18,000 cover our loss.

He is working on ideas for the Park area for the spring of 2011.

Mayor Lutes: There are security issues that will also need to be addressed.

Julie Haskell: It the insurance money was to cover the City's loss; shouldn't the funds be applied to replacement of the equipment that was destroyed?

Derrek Johnson: He felt this could all be discussed once a plan is in place regarding how to best equip the playground area.

Weston Youd: He wanted to know how he could assist Council Member Johnson. Council Member Johnson said he would get in touch with him.

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 2:26:58

59 SERVICING THE
60 UPPER LOAFER
61 CANYON WELL

Mr. Stephens was still waiting on an estimate from Widdison. The suggestion was made to call Nickerson for another bid to servicer the Well.

62 2:28:21

63 ULGT –
64 INSURANCE
65 RENEWAL

City Recorder: Utah Local Governments Trust (ULGT) still carries the Life Insurance, Vision & Disability Insurance for the City employees; this is the form for renewal.

WESTON YOUD MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO RENEW THE INSURANCE COVERAGE AS THE CITY CURRENTLY HAS IN PLACE FOR THE EMPLOYEES, THROUGH ULGT

VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

66
67
68 2:31:09

69 EXPENDITURES:

General: None

70
71

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

A. Check Registers and Payroll for September, 2010:
ERIN CLAWSON MOVED, SECONDED BY DERREK JOHNSON, TO APPROVE THE CHECK REGISTERS AND PAYROLL REGISTER FOR SEPTEMBER, 2010
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

B. Loafer Canyon Water Line Repairs:
Corbett Stephens: He obtained estimates through Mountainland Supply and the overall cost will be about \$5,000. Water Impact Fees can be used for these repairs, since they are a reimbursement to the City for the next 13 or so years.
ERIN CLAWSON MOVED, SECONDED BY WESTON YOUD, TO APPROVE THE USE OF WATER IMPACT FEES TO FUND THE REQUIRED REPAIRS TO THE LOAFER CANYON WATER LINE, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5000
VOTE (POLL): ERIN CLAWSON-AYE, WESTON YOUD-AYE, SEAN ROYLANCE-AYE, DERREK JOHNSON-AYE, JULIE HASKELL-AYE (5) NAY (0)
Passed 5-0

2:35:04
MINUTES

City Council Minutes of October 12, 2010:
JULIE HASKELL MOVED, SECONDED BY ERIN CLAWSON, TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF 10-12-2010
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0)

ADJOURNMENT

The Mayor adjourned the City Council Meeting at 8:40 PM.