

ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
May 12, 2009

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for Tuesday, May 12, 2009, at 7:00 PM; this was preceded by a City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on January 23, 2009; and an Amended Agenda on 1-25-09.

6:00 PM –

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Raymond Brown (Absent: Mayor Dunn); *City Council:* Nelson Abbott, Raymond Brown, Julie Haskell, Sean Roylance & Derrek Johnson; *City Planner:* Shawn Eliot; *Planning Commission Assistant:* Marissa Bassir; *Deseret News:* Rodger Hardy; *Public:* Jim Garside, Jamie Steck, Spencer Steck, Morgan Rugg, Sierra Poulsen & Phillip Sorensen; and the *City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis

CITY WEB SITE
TRAINING

Sean Roylance: One of Councilmember Roylance's assignments has been to improve the City's web site and to make it more user-friendly and useful to the residents of Elk Ridge. One of the platforms that Councilmember Roylance ran on was better communication with the citizens of Elk Ridge. Councilmember Roylance has been working on this and had a presentation prepared as a tutorial. Management of the proposed web site was the topic of discussion.

Who will have access to the web site?

City Council? Staff?

The web site is designed to be flexible to be able to navigate easily.

Councilmember Roylance guided us through the process of creating a new web page, or Adding content to a web page. The directions seemed simple and straight-forward.

There are still some things to be worked out before it is ready for use by the City.

Adding modules and the how to modify them was also covered in the presentation. Currently, there are three modules to start with; more can be added later, but they all work on the same basic principles.

Question: Who is hosting the web site? (Currently...online services? To switch it over to the domain name of elkridgecity.org would take about 24 hours.)

Other questions and points of consideration:

- Uploading agendas and minutes
 - Common topics could be put onto the web site with the ability to email the Council.
 - Who will have administrative access? (Examples: Fire Chief, Council, Planner, etc.)
- Councilmember Brown felt that the Mayor and Councilmember Roylance; then Plan Coordinator & City Recorder to put up notes and minutes.
- Councilmember Abbott agreed with Councilmember Brown and added perhaps the EMS Captain.

Raymond Brown: The Fire Dept/ has a PIO (Public Information Officer) that the Chief and the EMS Captain could clear things with. He also suggested having someone proof writing articles, particularly on "hot topics".

Nelson Abbott: He prefers to not have the ability to create their own content given to the Council; he feels it would be better to have things proofed and modified...so it could be cleared through an administrator.

Raymond Brown: He does feel it is important that each of the Councilmembers write about their areas of concern, to keep people informed.

- Deleting things from a web page was reviewed.

- Copy & paste was covered.

Question: Can articles be "time sensitive" where they will automatically delete after a period of time?

There will be a calendar for events.

Shawn Eliot: He would like to see included the ability to post things like staff reports, plat maps, etc. One of the problems we have had is that the City's web site does not get updated very much; so there is a common complaint that current information is not on there.

Rumors that go around town could be partly because of lack of current information available to the citizens. He does like the idea of having a 2nd eye edit whatever goes onto the web site.

Marissa Bassir: She agrees that it may not be wise to have too many administrators accessing the web page. From her experience, she feels the fewer people the better.

Sean Roylance: There has to be a balance between having the ability to get information out in a timely manner by those who are informed; and the ineffectiveness of having too many people involved. He cautioned about not having the "funnel too small"...or that one person is not overburdened and bored with the obligation of sifting through too much information.

Raymond Brown: If various individuals were writing the articles, all an administrator would have to do would be to review the article, edit it if necessary and post it.

Sean Roylance: One of the features he wants on the home page is a section with *frequently asked questions with a link to even more.*

Raymond Brown: The Council needs to work together and the ones that are expert in their fields and the most informed are the ones that need to inform the public.

Shawn Eliot: He asked again about having a termination or "fall off" date for articles; this would be besides a calendared event.

Sean Roylance: He will do what he can with what he has; archiving is an option.

Shawn Eliot: A reminder for Councilmembers and staff to write articles would be a nice feature.

Sean Roylance: he registered Elk Ridge as elkridgeut.com so a web site could be created...once we are all happy with what has been created, the switch over can take place. In the next week or so, he will be working with the Mayor to find out who should have access. Hopefully, the web site will be up in a couple of months. Councilmember Roylance was motivated to do this mainly because he feels that easy access will assist in getting information out to the residents in a timely manner.

BUDGET DISCUSSION (Postponed until later in the Work Session)

SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (911) – UPDATE

Julie Haskell: Councilmember Haskell had the opportunity to tour the Dispatch Center and spent some time one-on-one with the Executive Director; which she found very beneficial in that she was able to have many questions answered. There are still many decisions that the Board needs to make; so they are still in the beginning stages of this process. There are some interesting points she felt would be good to share:

- "The combination of the Dispatch and 911 Budgets is what it takes to operate the Dispatch Center as a whole. Certain expenses can be applied against the 911 budget, which is funded through the 911 telephone surcharge. All of the expenses incurred against those revenues must be associated with the process of 911 calls." (This included call-takers salaries & benefits, trainee call-takers, telephone line expenses and so forth.)
- "So as we develop a budget for operating the Dispatch Center, the SSD Board will determine what fit within the 911 perimeters; and the bounds of operation are funded through the fees assessed to the cities. (A breakdown of fees was provided...with Elk Ridge's assessment at \$10,241 for 2009/2010.)
- "The Board will be looking for an approval at Thursday's meeting for the July, 2009 – June, 2010 fiscal year. At that time the bill will be sent to the cities for ½ of the assessment in July...currently, the City is being billed from the County monthly...this ends in June for July's billing...the other ½ will be billed in January, 2010.
- The Board will be discussing how the assessments are determined...whether by calls dispatched...using one formula or another (she assumed the assessments will be reviewed each year...that has not been discussed). Unless the Board determines that a tax should be assessed...that has not been decided...if that occurs, then the City assessment would discontinue and the funding would come through a tax assessment to each household."

Nelson Abbott: He assumes that would be proportionate...according to growth rates and population...the cities not being assessed at the same level.

Julie Haskell: We are not being assessed at the same level...all the cities are charged differently.

Raymond Brown: So, if Elk Ridge had like 53 911 calls, where Payson City could have 1,140 (fictitious figures)...based on that, then Elk Ridge's fee would be smaller.

Nelson Abbott: (Stating his feeling, for the Record) "I think if it was dialed back and it was assessed at a taxing level on a per-household basis...I think that way it would be more current on an on-going basis instead of kind of a 'high-water mark' once a year where you go in and re-assess it to keep it more current."

Raymond Brown: "It sounds like they are doing the right thing."

Julie Haskell: There are still more discussions to be held; and as information is made available, she will bring that to the Council.

Raymond Brown: The City cannot "opt out"...it is about \$10,000/year; without additional revenue coming into the City.

SHERIFF'S DEPT. BUDGET

Julie Haskell: This information has been provided to the Council previously; but, in conjunction with the City's budget concerns, she wanted to refresh the Council that the County Sheriff's Dept. will not be increasing the costs to the cities for the up-coming fiscal year. Elk Ridge is in the class of a "Part-Time Patrol Deputy", which is at \$\$53.00/hour.

Raymond Brown: Rounding this figure off, we are at about \$60,000 for the year.

BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT

(Memo from Mayor Dunn to Council, dated 5-11-09)

"This project has been in the works for about two years now and needs to be taken care of. I see absolutely no advantage to prolong this clean-up of the boundaries.

Payson may need to re-do their end of things because of the amount of time that has passed.'

Let's get this item off the agenda and taken care of."

Raymond Brown: Mayor Pro-tempore summarized the memo from the Mayor and encouraged the Council to consider taking care of this. He said that this has nothing to do with Elk Ridge giving Payson land; they already own it. Elk Ridge is waiting to hear from Jill Spencer (Payson).

EFFECTS OF THE RECESSION

Raymond Brown: The Mayor included an article written by Mr. Stephen G. McCutchan, AICP, entitled "How the Recession will Change Land Planning and Housing in Utah"; he also had the letter he wrote to Mr. McCutchan and Mr. McCutchan's response by email to the Mayor included in the Council packets. Councilmember Brown summarized: Basically the City cannot "do business like we used to". Things have changed...the world has changed...the economy has changed...the environment has changed...so there will be many things happening differently due to these changes and the resulting economic challenges. The Council will have to change the way we think about things. He encouraged the Council to read the article if they had not.

BUDGET DISCUSSION – City Recorder: It is important to understand about where we are for the current fiscal year to better understand what to project for next year. She has met with the Finance Director, Curtis Roberts (in April). They both spent the entire day he was in reviewing the figures for this year and next year's projections.

(Budget Worksheet)

Page 8: The General Fund deficit is projected at about \$106,795; this would have to be transferred out of the "Capital Projects Fund – Future Improvements" to balance the General Fund. This projection will change as more bills and revenue come in after the close of the fiscal year. The *Final* Financial Report is the Audit Report; that is what goes in to the State; the Final Amended Budget in June is simply a guide and a best estimate of the final figures.

(Review of memo to Council from the City Recorder, dated 5-12-09)

Current Year Budget (2008/2009):

General Fund

Revenue:

- There are certain revenue accounts that do not receive their last submittals until August and September after the close of the fiscal year at the end of June. (Property Tax, Woodland Hills (Corbett Contract), B&C Road Funds, Utility Franchise Fees, EMS Grant) The Final Budget will reflect the final payments that can be somewhat predicted.
- We will have a one-time transfer in to the General Fund of \$124,000 from the Capital Projects Fund (Town Hall / Fire Station) This will not be part of the General Fund revenue in 2009/2010.
- Property Tax: (As per Curtis) Typically counties collect about 90 to 93% of property taxes. 91% of our budgeted property tax would be \$213,339...we are low (209,536)
- Sales Tax: We started out budgeting 235,000...Modified Budget went to 175,000 (based on current year-to-date figures and projecting out to end of year). I noticed that in October, '08 \$41,914 had been reversed out of the ledger...why? This is an example of money that comes into the current fiscal year, but has to be reversed back into the previous year because it was earned in that year...so Curtis kicked it back in last year so the auditors would not have to make that adjustment.
- Refuse Collection: When we went from \$10 to \$11, it was proposed by Linda Cooper to go to \$12/month. The \$11 is just covering expenses; but before we consider raising that rate, I recommend contacting Allied to see if having the cans on one side of the street would save costs; or Perhaps the City should simply tell them to take care of their own billing and charges and take the charge off the City bill. (Is that even possible?)
- Woodland Hills – Contract Labor: (Corbett Stephens) There has been about \$20,000 generated from his work in their city.
- Zoning & Subdivision Fees: From 25,000 to 12,540 to about \$5,000 (\$4,340 is YTD actual)
- Engineering Inspections: From 25,000 to 5,000 to about \$1,000 (Final)
- Municipal Contract Labor: (Corbett S.) Inspections and work for other communities (not Woodland Hills) About \$3,000 + (Actual = \$2,682) He is filling in for Salem City currently...so this will go up slightly.
- Interest Received: From \$70,000 to 31,500 to about \$20,000 (Final)

Expenditures:

- Insurance & Bonds: This was the reverse of the revenue accts. \$5,774 had been charged to last year's expenditures since the bill was due July 1; however, insurance pays for the future, not the past; and should have been in this current year.
- City Council/PC Supplies: \$700 to \$1,200 (Purchase of Screen and Projector)
- Police: From \$55,120 to \$57,978 to about \$60,000 (Final)
- Parks:
- Salaries: YTD = \$1,684 (The question is whether we will have hired help for the parks and Pavilion? See Mayor's

Memo)

- Special Projects: \$2,000 to \$0.00 (Council decision)
- 4th of July: \$5,000 to? (YTD = 3,082 for last year's celebration)

General Fund Totals for current year: (To balance the General Fund)

Usage of Fund Balance from Capital Projects went from 109,287 to Possible 106,795.

There are questions:

- o How much will the fencing around the water tank cost? When will we install it?
- o It is projected to spend about \$17,000 to complete the purchase of the auto-read meters for the City; Will this be approved?
- o Where will we project the property tax to be at for 2009/10? Will the Council approve a Truth-in-Taxation Hearing to consider an increase in property tax?

One of the areas that could have a major impact on the General Fund is the Water Fund...in the form of the Administrative Fee. If the Water Fund were carrying its responsibility to the General Fund for administration, the fee would be higher...about 40% of three Departments in the General Fund is spent on some aspect of the Water Fund...that would increase the Fee to the General Fund significantly. This would make a big difference in the General Fund totals.

The Water Fund, however, is not in a position to handle 40%; we are currently at about 18% or 19% with the current Admin. Fee from Water.

There is another aspect of the Water Fund totals that has to be taken into consideration: (Copies of page 62 from the 2007 Audit Report and page 64 of the 2008 Audit Report were provided to the Council) These pages cover the "Net Revenue Bond Requirement" for the Water Fund. This is the requirement for the excess of net revenues over the Bond requirement... (the Bond requirement is 125% of debt service)

Excess of net revenues over Bond requirements"

Year ending in June, 2007 = \$145,951

Year ending in June, 2008 = \$18,734

This is placing the Water Fund in a position of not having much of a cushion. If that margin lessens, the State could dictate water rates for the City. The Water Fund's expenses went up with the Water Project and added infrastructure; this adds to the Depreciation figure...this current year = 152,000...it will be the same for 2009/10. The State did dictate an increase in water rates in about 1995, when the City was seeking bonding for a water tank.

The Council has expressed an interest in examining water rates...there are concerns with this:

- More revenue is needed in the Water Fund to be able to increase the Admin. Fee to the General Fund.
- The Council has expressed an on-going concern for those residents struggling to make ends meet...the "fixed income" citizens...those trying to meet their obligations (the number of those citizens is growing with the state of the economy).
- The must be a balance between rates that are too high and rates that are too low.
- Dry vs. wet years have to be considered

The Recorder had mentioned to Corbett Stephens the dilemma the Water Fund is in and he responded with a suggestion to consider the method of billing that Spanish Fork City uses...they charge a Base Fee that is for zero amount of gallons used; then the tiered structure begins at the first gallon used. He worked up a summary of particular scenario:

(Memo from Corbett Stephens to Council)

"Water rate proposals may include changing the base rate to a lower amount while eliminating the base water allowance also. A base rate of \$25.00 would generate approximately \$14,625.00 per month and the used water would then be charged from the 1st gallon used.

There were 206 residents who didn't reach their minimum 12,000 gallons for the month of October in 2008. Of those, the average usage was just less than 7,000 gallons. If the base fee was applied at \$1.80 per 1,000 gallons, the average bill would be \$37.60, not much different. Some of these users only use 3,000 gallons per month, which would then be represented by a billing of \$30.40. Those on fixed incomes are generally older couples with no children at home. These residents would see a substantial savings. For the remainder of the water users, rates would increase slightly. When a user reached the 12,000 gallon point, their bill would be \$46.60, and from there, it would increase the same as it currently is established.

This proposal may seem to place the financial burden more fairly on the use of water instead of burdening everyone equally when consumption is nowhere near the same across the board. Paying for water as it is used may also lend itself to encourage a greater conscious effort to conserve water. Long term conservation may also benefit the entire community with a decrease in the requirement and a windfall of excess and sellable water.

The extremes for water consumed for the month of October, '08 were up to 113,000 on the high end (residential) and several in the 2,000 to 3,000 range."

Raymond Brown: He met with the Finance Director and was told how much money he could not spend on road repair this coming fiscal year... he only has \$90,000 to do \$170,000 worth of repairs to the City roads. The roads will go without the necessary maintenance, and if that is multiplied times a number of years, then the roads deteriorate. Roads can get to a "point of no return" when they are beyond repair and have to be replaced...that is very expensive. Debt can be like "rolling a snowball up hill"...it gets heavier and heavier. The City has not had a property tax increase for a number of years; he does not want to be like Saratoga Springs, where they came to the public with a 242% increase in taxes. He feels the Council had to "ease into this thing" by increasing the taxes in increments. About 10% of Elk Ridge residents did not pay their property taxes this year. Expenses have been cut as much as possible and revenues are not coming in...these needs to be kept in mind as the budget is considered...but it is "needs vs. wants" right now.

**ELK RIDGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
May 12, 2009**

TIME & PLACE
OF MEETING

This Regularly Scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council, was scheduled for **Tuesday, May 12, 2009, at 7:00 PM**; this was preceded by a **City Council Work Session at 6:00 PM**. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, UT, and to the members of the Governing Body, on January 23, 2009; and an Amended Agenda on 1-25-09.

7:00 PM -

CITY COUNCIL MEETING – REGULAR SESSION AGENDA ITEMS

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Raymond Brown (Absent: Mayor Dunn); *City Council:* Nelson Abbott, Raymond Brown, Julie Haskell, Sean Roynance & Derrek Johnson; *City Planner:* Shawn Eliot; *Planning Commission Assistant:* Marissa Bassir; *Deseret News:* Rodger Hardy; *Public:* Jim Garside, Jamie Steck, Scout Spencer Steck, Morgan Rugg, Sierra Poulsen & Phillip Sorensen, Wesley & Kara & Scout Cook; and the *City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis

OPENING REMARKS
& PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE

An invocation was offered by Nelson Abbott and Scout Spencer Steck led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance, for those willing to participate.

1
2
3 AGENDA TIME
4 FRAME

MOTION WAS MADE BY NELSON ABBOTT AND SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL TO APPROVE THE AGENDA TIME FRAME AS PRESENTED
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

5
6
7 PUBLIC FORUM

Mr. Jamie Steck: He is experiencing water pressure problems (he lives on N. Ama Fille Circle) at his house. He and his neighbors installed their yards and gauged their sprinklers for about 60 to 65 lbs of pressure; this was about 12 years ago. The pressure keeps decreasing and more sprinklers are required as well as the necessity of redesigning their systems. He is concerned about the ever decreasing pressure (currently at about 45 psi).

Raymond Brown: He described some of the problems that have occurred in that area and asked him to leave his address and he will have the Public Works employees check out his particular area.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15 WATER RIGHTS
16 OPPRTUNITY –
17 PRESENTATION

Mr. Jim Garside: (Mr. Garside has met with Mayor Dunn to present a proposal regarding providing water rights for the City; the Mayor directed him to the City Council.)

Mr. Garside introduced himself as a water right expert in Utah and Salt Lake Counties; he buys, sells and trades water rights throughout these areas. Mayor Dunn and he have had several conversations regarding water rights, specifically for Elk Ridge City.

He would be happy to answer any questions about water and water rights. He discussed what he has done in other communities and he qualified his presentation with the fact that the City Engineer was to provide him with a figure of the City needs in acre feet at "built-out", but that has not happened.

Proposal:

He offered to deposit whatever that quantity of water right required at build-out, into the City wells. They provide the money, they deposit the water and as development comes in, then the developers do not have to go out and "hunt" for water rights for their developments...they do not have to deal with the City Council, except to be referred to Mr. Garside and/or whomever represents him at the time...and they can then purchase the water from them at a certain price. He mentioned that Dallas Young deposited a bulk of water rights here in the City some time ago and that worked out well for the City.

Positive aspects of the proposal:

- ❖ Elk Ridge is "done with water"...meaning, at build-out, the City has what it needs.
- ❖ Development comes quicker
- ❖ This basically takes the "politics" out of water; and politics create problems

He proposes to put in a quantity of water that is needed for build-out for the City, and then issue those as "credits" in the system.

Once the water is in the City's name, it becomes property of the City...it can never leave; the rule states that cities cannot "alienate" water...once it is here, it is here. They are fine with however long it takes to sell the water for development.

Benefits for Mr. Garside and his Associates:

- ❖ Their water gets beneficially used...as soon as it is in the City's name, it is the City's and it can be used as the City pleases.
- ❖ There is a 50-year "banking" rule with the State that Mr. Garside does not...that protects the rights.

City Recorder: IF the City has more water than it needs and can use at a particular time, is the water then placed in a "non-use" category? Those applications and the cost of contracting with the City's water right expert (Tony Fuller)...would those costs be the responsibility of the City...or, since the revenue generated from those rights would come to Mr. Garside, would he cover those costs and monitor the status of the rights?

Mr. Garside: In every city he has done this in (north of Elk Ridge...examples: Spanish Fork, Riverton, Herriman, South Jordan, Sandy, American Fork, Alpine, Highland, Lehi...he has credits issued in most of those cities) he deposits the water and then the city issues credit to the developers. Regarding the status of the water...once the water is in the City's name, it is the City's water.

Recorder: It is the City's water, and the City would have to prove-up on it.

Mr. Garside: That is correct; but cities are under special circumstances...cities have 50 years to prove up on water; Mr. Garside has six years. Once it is in the City's name, the City is under a State statute that protects your water...that is a benefit to him (Mr. Garside); in that once the water is in Elk Ridge, it is protected.

"But, to pay for everything...I think that engineers and attorneys charge too much money for what they do; and I think that there are people in your City and people like myself that would be happy to help out...I do it for nothing...because it is my water. It is a simple process; the State makes it easy to do this...we do not need attorneys, we don't need engineers...we just need common sense."

Raymond Brown: Getting back to what the Recorder was referring to: When someone comes in requesting water Rights, they would purchase the rights from Mr. Garside; with the point-of-diversion being the Elk Ridge wells. Question: If changing the status of the rights were necessary, there are charges involved...

Mr. Garside: It is \$175 fee for a non-use application that protects the City for a period of time (He thought perhaps 30 years... *Tony Fuller indicated 5 years, unless things have changed*).

Raymond Brown: Question: The point is, "if you sell it for \$4,500...the City pays \$175 to keep it in non-use...will you reimburse us for the \$175 when you sell it? We have no industry here."

Mr. Garside: "That can be worked out in the fine print, as far as I'm concerned...What I am talking about is parking \$4,000,000 of my assets in your City; so \$175 of a 4 million dollar asset is quite meaningless."

Raymond Brown: He explained that expenses in a small city are critical and there are administrative costs associated with this proposal; he does not want the City to be burdened with that over 40, 45 or 50 years."

Mr. Garside: “But if it’s a one-time fee, and your water is taken care of...you would look at the alternative...a developer comes in and says ‘I need water and you say...good luck...go find it’; and then it takes a year to find it, and it takes 18 months to fight through the attorneys and through the State Engineer to get it in here... How are your impact fees compared to \$175 fee when the water is already here? We are talking about, develop now, or develop in a year & ½ or 2 years...your impact fees come quicker when the water is here. We can negotiate anything in the fine print.”

Raymond Brown: He felt strongly about not creating another financial burden for the City, even though it is a minor one.

Mr. Garside: “Well, the big burden would be not getting your water...”

Raymond Brown: “It wouldn’t be a burden for the City...” (*Mr. Garside interjected that it would be a burden!*) “In a way, it wouldn’t; because you don’t know how many people here don’t want development...”

Mr. Garside: “But you don’t know how many people here do want development...and need development in this area.”

Raymond Brown: “You’re right; and we have done our best to have water available for...not total build-out, but we do have water available.” He again made the point that, though the costs may seem small, he does not want the City to bear the costs associated.

Mr. Garside: He suggested adding that into a building fee or a license fee...however the City needs to do that is fine with him. He knows that cities are struggling financially. That is why he does not want Elk Ridge to have to expend any money on purchasing rights. He feels this credit proposal is the best option to save the City money; “other than the few fees”.

Nelson Abbott: (Question) He reiterated the agreement the City had with SUVMWA and the “cash-in-lieu” option the City arranged wherein the two entities have “profit-sharing” situation, with both entities benefitting. Councilmember Abbott wanted to know if this type of an arrangement could be considered with Mr. Garside.

Mr. Garside: When he “parks” water in the City, he does not own it any longer...the City would issue him “credits”...he owns the credits, the City owns the water. He is not interested in getting involved with what the City does with development; and he would hope that the City is not trying to impact how he, as a water rights expert, deals with his profession. He said he is not interested in “profit-sharing”; he is interested in placing the water and the City refers developers to him. If he charges too high a price for his water, the developer is welcome to find his own and bring it in. There is no “monopoly”.

In this area, water is going for about \$3,500 (is that per acre-foot?)

When someone comes in needing water rights, they would be referred directly to Mr. Garside, who would then give this person the price of the water. They purchase the water rights from Mr. Garside and bring the City proof of purchase (notarized deed) that would state that that amount of water right can be credited to that person...and they are ready to build, develop or whatever the use may be.

This works out well for the City.

He knows that cities are trying to save money; but the fees that would be associated with transferring those rights would be “insignificant” when compared to a \$4,000,000 water package...over 30 years.

City Recorder: (Comment) The arrangement with Dallas Young was different in that the City purchased water from Mr. Young over time; and then sold it to developers or individuals...there was profit-sharing involved.

Raymond Brown: This will be discussed with the Council and then there may be some “fine tuning” to any arrangement; and Mr. Garside will be contacted. Councilmember Brown expressed his appreciation for presenting his offer to the City. The build-out figure also needs to be provided to Mr. Garside by Tony Fuller.

NON-AGENDA ITEM

Eagle Scout Project Report (Scout Cook):

Mayor Dunn asked that he come in to report on his Project...which was the installation of the baseball field.

Scout Cook: He handed out fliers to conduct a sale to raise money to build a baseball field (southwest corner of Ingram Field). He raised \$650, with which he purchased bases and rented a saw cutter to cut out the field. The Project was held up due to winter weather. The Mayor indicated that the remainder of the money should be donated to the City to help pay for clay for the field; because it is just dirt in the cut-out portions right now.

Raymond Brown: He asked about the status of the clay for the field.

Scout Cook: The clay has not been purchased; he is not sure where to buy it.

Wesley Cook: They have checked around (late last summer) with the hope of having it donated to the City for his son’s Project; but no one was interested. The clay was not part of the original Project; it was not written into the plans. The clay was the Mayor’s idea; and they felt it would be a good thing to finish it off, and that people would be more apt to use the field with the clay. So, the Project is finished. They need for the Mayor to sign off on the Project.

Raymond Brown: He will relay the message to the Mayor. He should be in the City Office the following day.

Derrek Johnson: He asked what the balance of the money is to be able to donate toward the clay.

Scout Cook: About \$315. He will bring the money in when he meets with Mayor Dunn.

*Raymond Brown: He will set up a meeting for Scout Cook with Mayor Dunn.

(*The bases were submitted to the Council for the City to store.*)

ACTION ON WORK SESSION ITEMS

1. Budgets:

City Recorder: The City Recorder continued the discussion regarding –the current year budget and how it affects the coming year’s budget.

Water rates will affect the operating revenue in the Water Fund and will determine the amount of “Administrative Fee” to go to the General Fund. If it increases to the point of being able to cover the percentage appropriate, this will reduce the deficit in the General Fund

The amount of Property Tax to be charged to make up the deficit must be determined and it could hinge on “water sales” covering operating costs.

This is why reviewing the water rates is directly involved with balancing the budget; but if water rates could possibly be lowered in some way, that could off-set a possible increase in Property Tax. It is important that we take the current financial status of the City and move forward creatively in a difficult economy. Simply cutting expenses does not necessarily solve the problems.

(Review of the memo from Corbett Stephens on water rates...quoted earlier)

The residents on a fixed income would be helped with this “pay for what you use” method of billing; some of them are only using 3,000 or 4,000 gallons/month. With the capacity to read meters year-round (auto-read system), the City can bill according to usage.

Derrek Johnson: He wondered how many of the 206 people who did not use 12,000 gallon have avoided putting in their yards; perhaps this could “reward” this attitude.

City Recorder: Many simply use less due to small yards, more concrete and because only two people are living in the home. There may be pro’s and con’s to the suggestion, and this is a rough summary of what is possible; but consideration should be given to determine if a system where a base rate is charged without any gallons of water being attached to it could be appropriate for Elk Ridge.

This is a concept that has not been utilized in Elk Ridge, but has been in other cities.

Discussion of Administrative Fees from the Water and Sewer Funds, into the General Fund:

These fees are contractual fees paid to the General Fund to administrate the operations of the Water and Sewer Funds. These Funds must be thought of as separate “businesses” and should be in a position to sustain themselves and cover their own costs.

Year-round reading of meters will also help to eliminate on-going problems with broken lines that seem to surface in the spring, when we start reading meters after the winter months (example of costly broken line was given). Not only does the resident end up paying more, but there is also the issue of wasted water.

A new look at the water rates could be beneficial to the Water Fund, certain residents, and the General Fund; in conjunction with switching over to the Loafer Well, could bring the Water Fund to the point that a higher Administration Fee could be sustained.

Bringing the Administration Fee up to a point where it is actually paying the appropriate amount to the General Fund could take the needed property tax down.

(It was figured that the cost for the remainder of the City to have auto-read meters is about \$17,000; this would be for the “09/”10 Budget Year.)

Nelson Abbott: He spoke of the necessity of running the Water Dept. as efficiently as possible.

Sean Roylance: He likes the idea of charging for the actual amount of water used; “it allows the people who really do need to squeeze out a few extra dollars...to have the chance to do that”.

Nelson Abbott: He also feels that those who use extra high amounts of water may curb there practices.

City Recorder: A very positive result of listening to our Finance Director (then our Auditor) several years ago was how raising water rates took the Water Fund from a downward trend financially, to a point where the City was able to fund an expensive water project (over 2 million dollars) and only bond for \$500,000 of that. This was unprecedented for this City to be able to do that.

Nelson Abbott: There are still SUVMWA Water Rights to purchase with profit sharing options (about \$8,000,000 worth of water rights).

Raymond Brown: He agrees that he favors an arrangement where the City can make some money on the sale of water rights. He added that he feels that administration costs must be considered in everything the City does...like development, garbage collection, etc.

Discussion of the possibility of raising garbage collection fees (current fee is barely covering costs):

Nelson Abbott: Before considering a raise in this fee, the efficiency of the collection process must be reviewed with Allied; would placing all cans on the same side of the streets save the City money?

(Mapleton Mayor said it works in their city.)

Raymond Brown: Perhaps there should be a test with Allied to have everyone put their containers on the same side of the street; then we could see if it works.

City Recorder: This was placed on the agenda as an action item for tonight’s meeting to determine if the Council will hold a Truth in Taxation Public Hearing in August to consider an increase in the property tax rate. The Tentative Budget for 2009/2010 will be adopted at the next Council Meeting (5/26); if a Hearing is held in August, this Tentative Budget will be utilized until that point...if not, then the future year’s budget must be adopted by June 22, 2009.

In considering a possible tax rate increase, it would be preferable to approach it in an “incremental” way, rather than waiting and having to look at a large increase in a year or two. Last August, at the Tax Hearing, the citizens in attendance were not opposed to an incremental approach to a tax increase. Naturally, no one wants any increase at all; but the majority of the citizens could see the need and, though they were opposed to the proposed tax rate (that would have generated over \$100,000 in one tax year), they could see the sense in half that amount.

As Councilmember Roylance has so often said, communication is so important! The City is looking at other ways to generate revenue and cut expenditures. (Later it must be discussed: will the City keep certain positions or bring some work in-house?)

(Review of memo from Mayor Dunn regarding care of the City Park)

“Care of the park is a contractual event that must be approved by the Council after proper discussion. This does not fall under the \$500.00 limit that each Council person has. The \$500.00 limit is only for one time items.

Items to consider for any contract are:

Do we have it in the budget? Where is the money coming from?

What are the other options? Has someone volunteered to do this? Can it be done in house?

Who insures the new workers? Do they have their own? Do we take on liability?
What does the bid show? What do we get for the money? Is this what we desire?
Is this competitive? Who else desires to do the job?

The bottom line is; this is a Council decision."

The argument could be: the current City employees are experiencing a "wage freeze"...no COLA and no bonuses...all the employees understand this. Will the City then hire someone to come in and do something that could be done in-house? Do we have the luxury to hire an outside company?

(Mr. Ed Christensen has a lawn care company that has tentatively been hired to care for the ballpark, City Hall yard and playground area.)

Derrek Johnson: He told of Mr. Christensen coming in to propose a lawn care contract which included mowing twice a week, bagging the grass and disposing of it in the dumpster, edges...all for about \$100/week. That is about what the City was paying summer help in the past.

Raymond Brown: Though this was a good offer, he felt that it should be brought before the Council and perhaps, in an economic crisis like the one we are currently experiencing...the Council should consider the money spent as opposed to wages frozen. If it is in the budget, he sees no problem.

Derrek Johnson: One thing he found appealing was that he knows the job will be done...and done correctly; as opposed to a volunteer, who may or may not get it done.

Nelson Abbott: (Suggestion) Perhaps we could contract out one time per week and allow a volunteer to do the other time.

City Recorder: There are also three Public Works employees who could do the work.

Nelson Abbott: ...If they have the time and the availability to do the work, he has no problem with that; but in the past, they did not have the time...so help was hired. Have things slowed down to the point where they could do this?

City Recorder: Regardless if things have slowed down or not, the point is that right now, we are looking at every imaginable way to cut expenditures...

Raymond Brown: (Example) Acting as Mayor Pro-tempore in the Mayor's absence, a situation came up where the first rental of the season for the Pavilion was scheduled...with an employee who had informed the City that this was not longer something she desired to have included in her job description. In reading over the job description, cleaning the Pavilion was still part of the duties associated with this job. The point is; how much do we need this position? (This will be discussed later in the Closed Session.)

Another area to look at would be the Engineering firm the City uses; it is located in Bountiful (they used to have an office in Payson). The City pays for time in travel to and from the City...this costs a great deal of extra money. Should the City consider a firm that is closer?

(The charges come in three parts: the Field Inspector, Craig Neeley checks her work, and the charge for clerical work in creating the invoices.)

Corbett Stephens works with Aqua Engineering in the area of Field Inspections and certain work with the subdivisions; then his work is okayed by Aqua...and the City is charged. This all adds up...and when the City employees are looking at the wage freeze decided upon, we need to be careful how the City's money is spent.

City Recorder: She reminded the Council that it must be decided whether to go to a Public Hearing in August for taxation; or not.

Raymond Brown: (Referring to something said by Councilmember Abbott last year). Councilmember Brown agreed with Councilmember Abbott when he suggested the Council consider smaller increases on a regular basis...much like a "cost-of-living-adjustment". The City is not being frivolous in spending. He feels that if the Council stayed with this idea of smaller increases; over a period of time, the General Fund could come back to a healthy point and meet the needs of the City. "We are basically robbing from our savings to keep ahead".

Some people will spend more than others, based on their valuations, and based on the County taxes and Nebo School District.

Smaller increases would eliminate the need to approach the citizens for a larger increase later.

Nelson Abbott: "When you wait, and we borrow money from somewhere else that is earning interest, we are actually eroding our principal...and so we have to take an even bigger piece...rather than gradually..."

Raymond Brown: He listed other expenses cut from the budget: All conferences have been eliminated; except those that allow certifications to be kept current...we have cut about all we can cut.

Regarding a proposed tax increase: he feels that the "sooner the better". The citizens are expecting it from the last Public Hearing in August, 2008...event he ones that came who were very against any increase; by the end of the Hearing, they were mostly in agreement that some increase was in order. It was also said that this would be re-visited.

City Recorder: The Council that voted to accept the suggested tax rate (no increase at all), based their vote on the fact that the suggested tax rate was actually slightly increased from the prior tax year; which has not happened in the City in her remembrance. There was hope that it would be sufficient; it was not and collections were not as high as usual.

Raymond Brown: In 'hind-site", the City did not get as much in as expected...people did not drive as much and the Class C Funds were lower...all of these things "hit".

City Recorder: (Water Dept.) Pg 21...

- "Water Sales" have gone down slightly ("wet" spring)
- "Interest Earned: Has gone down (less money to earn interest on)

Pg 23: The budget has gone from (- \$46,000) to +\$31,000 (approximate): One reason for this was the removal of one of the expenditures of \$84,000 +, which was listed twice.

Nelson Abbott: How far off is the City from having the current bonds paid off? There are still town bonds in the Water Fund. Sometimes it is not about the interest, it is about the money we have to have for the bonds (125% of the debt requirement).

(The city Recorder did not know the maturity dates at the time, but has researched them since then and they are as follows:

- Water Revenue Bond, Series 2002: in 2018
- Water Revenue Bond, Series 2007: in 2014)

City Recorder: The Sewer Fund has not changed much; O & M to Salem has not happened yet. The totals for the budget figures in the Enterprise Funds (Water, Sewer and Storm Drain) can be a bit misleading due to all the restricted money involved with these Funds...(example: Impact Fees)

Raymond Brown: He has also considered selling water to Woodland Hills.

City Recorder: A company has approached Mayor Dunn about being allowed to review our utility bills with the various utility companies to see if they can find mistakes in billing or better rates...all to save the City money. Once they see what they can do for the City, they will approach the Council for the go-ahead to continue. This is at no extra cost to the City; if they find a savings, then they earn a percentage. This has been done in the City some time ago with regard to franchise fees and was successful. There were two other competitive companies that do the same thing; but they are on-going for 5 years and this particular company is only for 3 years. The same percentage is charged. This is somewhat minor, but could represent a savings.

The review has been on the current year budget; these figures will affect 2009/2010...things will likely not change much. Perhaps looking a water rates and less pumping costs by the switch-over to the Loafer Well will allow a higher Admin. Fee from Water to the General Fund...this would have to be watched carefully through the summer to see how things are going.

(Certain projections were reviewed)

Raymond Brown: The costs will stay about the same, but the revenues could be less.

((An opinion was sought regarding a Taxation Hearing in August...it would have to be before August 17th)

Sean Roylance: He felt that it sounds like the Council needs to do it.

Julie Haskell: She expressed that she felt there was not much choice (meaning that the Hearing should be scheduled), even though she does not want to.

Raymond Brown: He said he thinks it will have to be. He felt we should go for the 1st Meeting in August.

Nelson Abbott: He has no opposition to scheduling the Hearing for August; but he wanted to state the reason for his vote in August of 2008: "Last August, why I didn't was because it was actually an increase; and that was actually the reason I didn't vote for an additional increase...at that point in time we were already increasing it...which is what I was proposing we would do...is to start to increase it...so, I think we need to do it. We told everyone we were going to do it last year and that we would be re-visiting it this year as well. Whether I like it or not, I think we have to."

Raymond Brown: He asked Councilmember Johnson if August is okay for the Public Hearing and he answered, "Yes".

Nelson Abbott: He feels one of the things that will happen is that people will go in to the County to appeal their valuations...and if the City does not adjust the tax rate, the City will be in a worse position.

Raymond Brown: IF a citizen goes in and gets an adjustment, he/she still has to pay what was assessed...If denied, it can go to an appeal...and this process can go all the way into the next tax year. (He speaks from experience.)

Sean Roylance: It is looking like the rate will likely be raised; he feels it would be good that the Council tracks all the things that have been done to cut the budget...all the sacrifices made, etc. Then the Council can inform people of what has been done.

Raymond Brown: He feels there has been a good effort to cut expenses as far as possible. There are some things that have not been cut: snow plowing...in some communities, when it reaches a certain time on the job, they simply quit plowing...like Cedar Hills...we kept plowing all season...for the safety of the public. Up here on the bench, it is a safety issue.

Sean Roylance: It seems like what the City has done in the past is that we had revenue coming in due to growth...growth is not something we can sustain. He suggested that the Council figure out what we need in terms of income and expenses...without growth; and then as long as we do have growth, we can use that money. But we need to determine the needs of the City without growth...someday it will stop.

Julie Haskell: Soft commercial is so important for the City to develop.

Raymond Brown: He was talking to the Fire Chief and he expressed their need for a new fire engine...they could do it with an 80% - 20% "match grant"...they can't because the City does not have the money for the match. But there is no where to park it if they get it.

Sean Roylance: This is a good time to figure out what the City's "needs" are vs. the City's "wants". What do we need to do to get by? Hopefully in the future, those who come after will not get "comfortable" with the revenue coming in from growth...because it can stop...either by another recession or by build-out.

Derrek Johnson: (Review of the planned events) Due to cost, there will be no movie, nor will there be a train.

- Events:
- Parade (Connie Reese)
 - Mayor's Dinner
 - Carnival (a modified version)
 - Helicopter Drop

(Councilmember Haskell offered to assist and for Councilmember Johnson to call her.)

Nelson Abbott: He has contacted a couple of different vendors for the raffle and he needs to get some City letterhead to send out. *(That was provided to him.)*

Raymond Brown: He asked if there was a theme to the Celebration...he suggested a military theme and for vets and those on active duty to be honored in the parade.

Nelson Abbott: The 3rd Ward will be carrying the big flag...what is the protocol?

UPDATE – CITY
CLELBRATION

City Recorder: If there is to be a performance, Maurie Vance has asked that her voice students be allowed to participate.
Raymond Brown: He was approached by the past Student Council President about getting the cheerleaders, and dance team, etc. to represent Salem Hills High School.

ACCEPTANCE OF
SUBDIVISION
IMPROVEMENTS –
RELEASE OF
DURABILITY
RETAINERS

1. *Salem Hills Subdivision, Plat C; Lot 20 "lot split" (Carey White; formerly Montierth)*
This was before the Council previously and was postponed to be sure that the correct improvements were inspected; they were and it is back on the agenda for approval.
MOTION WAS MADE BY JULIE HASKELL AND SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE TO ACCEPT THE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE SALEM HILLS SUBDIVISION, PLAT C, LOT 20 (LOT SPLIT) AS COMPLETE, AS PER INSPECTION AND RECOMMENDATION; AND TO RELEASE THE DURABILITY RETAINER TO THE DEVELOPER
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

2. *Harris Estates, Plat A (Ken Harris)*
The improvements have been inspected and have been recommended to acceptance.
MOTION WAS MADE BY NELSON ABBOTT AND SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL TO ACCEPT THE IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE HARRIS ESTATES, PLAT A SUBDIVISION, AS COMPLETE, AS PER INSPECTION AND RECOMMENDATION; AND TO RELEASE THE DURABILITY RETAINER TO THE DEVELOPER
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

3. *Loafer Heights Subdivision, Plat A (Kurt & Carissa Nosack)*
The recommendation listed one exception to approval: the developers must submit "as built" to the City prior to release of the bond.
MOTION WAS MADE BY NELSON ABBOTT AND SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL TO ACCEPT THE IMPROVEMENTS FOR LOAFER HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION, PLAT A, AS COMPLETE; AS PER INSPECTION AND RECOMMENDATION, AND TO RELEASE THE DURABILITY RETAINER TO THE DEVELOPER, CONTINGENT UPON "AS BUILT" BEING SUBMITTED TO THE CITY
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

OPEN MONEY MARKET
ACCOUNT FOR THE
CITY – APPROVAL

City Recorder: In an effort to protect the City's money in the present economy and to earn the best interest rate possible, the City Recorder has contacted the City's Bank (Far West in Salem) and proposes the following: (*Memo from Recorder to Council*)
"The City has a general savings type account at the PTIF (State Treasury) where we keep cash to run the City in its various Depts.
Occasionally, when the checking gets low, I authorize a transfer from this general account to the City's checking account to cover expenses.
Currently, we have more money in our checking that we require; so I thought about opening a savings account here at Far West Bank rather than sending it back to the savings at the State Treasury.
The Treasury has been preferable do to the possibility of earning a higher interest rate...
However, if we keep a balance of \$25,000 in a Money Market Acct., we can get an interest rate of 2% as opposed to an average of between 1.16 & 1.17% at the State Treasury.
The other reason to open a 2nd acct. is to not have all the City's money in one account...in fact, we may want to consider a further account and split up the money that we have in that one general acct. at the PTIF (over \$600,000). (Please do not confuse that cash with "money to spend"...it is all the combined cash for all the various Funds...)
I think it wise to not have all our "eggs in one basket"...just in case.
Anyway, to do this, the Bank requires the Council to approve this and authorize me, Linda and Janine to be signers on the account."
The Council agreed with this proposal, with only the Recorder and the Treasurer on the account.
MOTION WAS MADE BY RAYMOND BROWN AND SECONDED BY SEAN ROYLANCE TO AUTHORIZE ELK RIDGE CITY TO OPEN A MONEY MARKET ACCOUNT AT FAR WEST BANK; WITH THE FOLLOWING STIPULATIONS:
A. THAT TRANSFERS ONLY BE MADE FROM THIS ACCOUNT TO THE CITY'S CHECKING ACCOUNT AT FAR WEST BANK
B. THAT ONLY THE CITY RECORDER AND THE TREASURER BE LISTED ON THE ACCOUNT
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES

1. *City Council Minutes of 3-24-09:*
MOTION WAS MADE BY JULIE HASKELL AND SECONDED BY NELSON ABBOTT TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF 3-24-09, AS PRESENTED
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN
2. *City Council Minutes of 4-14-09:*
MOTION WAS MADE BY RAYMOND BROWN AND SECONDED BY NELSON ABBOTT TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF 4-14-09, WITH CORRECTIONS TO PAGE 5
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73

EXPENDITURES:

General: (Check Registers for March and April, 2009)

MOTION WAS MADE BY NELSON ABBOTT AND SECONDED BY JULIE HASKELL TO ACCEPT THE CITY EXPENDITURES IN THE FORM OF THE PAYMENT APPROVAL REPORT AND THE PAYROLL REGISTER, FOR MARCH AND APRIL, 2009

VOTE (POLL): DERREK JOHNSON-AYE, JULIE HASKELL-AYE, RAYMOND BROWN-AYE, NELSON ABBOTT-AYE & SEAN ROYLANCE-AYE NAY (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

Passes 5-0

Security Camera:

(Memo from Mayor Dunn, dated 5-11-09)

"This spring has brought to our attention the fact that children using the park facilities are treating it with some vandalism. Some of the new signs that have been put up have been torn off by vandals and have been found in the garbage cans by our Works people. Not only has vandalism resulted in the loss of one slide and the repair of another, but the net on the tennis court has been cut from end to end across the top, resulting in its complete destruction.

These events are happening when no one is witnessing it. It may be at night or on weekends. The total for the destroyed items has added up to be about \$2,500.00. It seems to be getting worse, not better.

The new water tank hill has also been altered by the kids that have used it as a sledding hill. That hill has been posted with "No Trespassing" signs.

The cameras would only be needed at the City building and play area. Children have been found on the roof of the City building and pavilion on several occasions. The signs that are being removed are the ones put in place to stop these events. The law requires us to post them if action is required on the vandalism. Our insurance also requires the signs. When a child falls off of any of the buildings and is killed or maimed, our insurance carrier is going to ask if we did our part to warn those who would desire to offend. The signs are that warning.

I would much rather catch the offenders than wait for them to get hurt and do more damage to the public facilities."

Raymond Brown: He and Councilmember Johnson have discussed this many times. The slide has been fixed; but the vandalism is on-going. Both Councilmembers are in agreement that the City needs to take further action to catch the offenders. There is a camera system at Cosco...including 4 cameras, it reports to a PC at home. He described the system to the Council.

The various features of the camera system were discussed.

The cost of this system is \$999.00. He feels the City would benefit from this, particularly considering that just the slide cost the City about \$1,500 for one time.

The system can always go back to Cosco if it does not perform as expected.

The Council agreed.

MOTION WAS MADE BY NELSON ABBOTT AND SECONDED BY DERREK JOHNSON TO APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF THE CAMERA SYSTEM AT COSCO FOR THE APPROXIMATE COST OF \$1,000.00

VOTE (POLL): DERREK JOHNSON-AYE, JULIE HASKELL-AYE, RAYMOND BROWN-AYE, NELSON ABBOTT-AYE & SEAN ROYLANCE-AYE NAY (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

Passes 5-0

8:55 PM

CITY COUNCIL CLOSED SESSION

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Raymond Brown (Absent: Mayor Dunn); *City Council:* Nelson Abbott, Raymond Brown, Julie Haskell, Sean Roylance & Derrek Johnson; and the *City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis

Discussion of Personnel

The Closed Session was closed and the Regular Session resumed at 9:35 PM.

9:35 PM -

CITY COUNCIL MEETING – REGULAR SESSION AGENDA ITEMS (CONTINUED)

ROLL

Mayor Pro-tempore: Raymond Brown (Absent: Mayor Dunn); *City Council:* Nelson Abbott, Raymond Brown, Julie Haskell, Sean Roylance & Derrek Johnson; and the *City Recorder:* Janice H. Davis

ACTION ON
CLOSED SESSION

1. Building Maintenance Position:

MOTION WAS MADE BY NELSON ABBOTT AND SECONDED BY DERREK JOHNSON TO ELIMINATE THE POSITION OF FACILITIES MAINTENANCE EMPLOYEE, AND TO BRING THE DUTIES IN-HOUSE
VOTE: YES (5) NO (0) ABSENT (1) MAYOR DUNN

2. Engineering Firms:

The City Council is in agreement that all members of the Council, Kent Haskell and Corbett Stephens will be authorized to gather information regarding other engineering firms or an individual engineer to service the Community. Aqua is in bountiful and the City pays straight time for travel.

3. Maintenance of the Park and City Building:

*Councilmember Johnson will obtain two other bids besides that of Ed Christensen and present them to the Council.

*Raymond Brown will check on the possibility of bringing the work in-house.

This will be on the Agenda for the next Council Meeting.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

ADJOURNMENT

The Meeting was adjourned at 9:40 PM.

City Recorder – Elk Ridge City